Many thanks to the reviewer for their positive comments on the manuscript.

Regarding the presentation of HO$_2$ yields, I did not think it was necessary to include this in the original manuscript, as the presentation of NO$_2$ yields alone suffices to show the capabilities of the algorithm (which is the subject of this manuscript). The HO$_2$ yields are, of course, available in the output produced by the sequence algorithm, and it is easy enough to add them in a similar way to which the NO$_2$ yields are presented. I am not sure though whether this would bring much extra value to the manuscript at the cost of an extra figure and the necessary discussion which would need to accompany that.
The HO$_2$ yields, along with a full discussion of their role in the context of ozone formation, will of course feature prominently in the next manuscript, currently in preparation, which will focus specifically on the theme of ozone production. I will however include the HO$_2$ yields in the present manuscript if convinced by subsequent interactive discussion, or if directed to by the editor.

And yes, I got the name of ethylene glycol wrong. This will be corrected in the final version of the manuscript.
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