Internally generated millennial-scale climate variability in an earth system model of intermediate complexity: sensitivity to ocean bathymetry and orbital forcing
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Abstract

The effect of orbital variations on simulated millennial-scale variability of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) is studied using the earth system model of intermediate complexity LOVECLIM. It is found that for present-day topographic boundary conditions low obliquity values (\(\sim 22.1^\circ\)) favor the triggering of internally generated millennial-scale variability in the North Atlantic region. Reducing the obliquity leads to changes of the pause-pulse ratio of the corresponding AMOC oscillations. Stochastic excitations of the density-driven overturning circulation in the Nordic Seas can create regional sea-ice anomalies and a subsequent reorganization of the atmospheric circulation. The resulting remote atmospheric anomalies over the Hudson Bay can release freshwater pulses into the Labrador Sea leading to a subsequent reduction of convective activity. The millennial-scale AMOC oscillations disappear if LGM bathymetry (with closed Hudson Bay) or Hudson Bay salinity is prescribed. Furthermore, our study documents the marine and terrestrial carbon cycle response to millennial-scale AMOC variability.

1 Introduction

Oxygen isotope records from Greenland (Johnsen et al., 1992; Dansgaard, 1993; GRIP Project Members, 1993; NGRIP Project Members, 2004) bear witness to the existence of abrupt climate reorganizations in the Northern Hemisphere. Dansgaard-Oeschger (DO) events (Dansgaard et al., 1982; Oeschger et al., 1984), i.e. rapid transitions from stadial to interstadial conditions, are prominent features of the last glacial period. Their abruptness has prompted researchers to hypothesize that their dynamics is tightly coupled to the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Ganopolski et al., 1998; Alley et al., 2001; Timmermann et al., 2003). In simplified and intermediate complexity models (Stommel, 1961; Broecker et al., 1990; Ganopolski et al., 1998) the AMOC is known to exhibit multiple equilibrium solutions due to the nonlinearity of
meridional density advection. Stochastic and periodic excitation of such a bistable system can generate dynamical behavior that resembles the observed DO events (Alley et al., 2001).

However, recent coupled general circulation modeling (CGCM) studies (Liu et al., 2010) have demonstrated that the simulated hysteresis behavior of the AMOC with respect to freshwater forcing (an indicator for the existence of multiple equilibria) is weaker than previously thought. While the jury is still out, other dynamical concepts are being considered to explain the emergence of DO events under glacial conditions.

In fact, the observed DO events bear some similarity to the so-called ocean relaxation oscillations. Their mechanism involves a long “recharging” timescale, often associated with advective or diffusive processes and a rapid “flushing” process, such as oceanic convection. Internally generated centennial to millennial-scale relaxation oscillations of the AMOC have been simulated in ocean and climate models of varying complexity (Winton, 1993; Winton and Sarachik, 1993; Paul and Schulz, 2002; Timmermann and Goosse, 2004; Rial and Yang, 2007; Schulz et al., 2007; Jongma et al., 2007; Rial and Saha, 2008) and have often been explained in terms of the deep-decoupling oscillation concept (Winton, 1993). The deep-decoupling phase of such an oscillation describes a state with little North Atlantic deep-water formation (due to reduced surface densities). Under such conditions subsurface advective or diffusive heating can lead to a de-stabilization of the water column in the convective areas of the North Atlantic and eventually a convective flush that enhances North Atlantic Deep Water Formation and the meridional overturning circulation. Such flushes are associated with increased poleward heat transport that may provide a self-limiting negative feedback to the AMOC. The relaxation oscillation framework is fundamentally different from the multiple equilibrium framework described in Stommel (1961); Broecker et al. (1990); Ganopolski et al. (1998) and adopted frequently to explain abrupt climate change.
Several modeling studies (Aeberhardt et al., 2000; Ganopolski and Rahmstorf, 2002; Timmermann et al., 2003; Schulz et al., 2007) have demonstrated that stochastic forcing can facilitate the excitation of nonlinear relaxation oscillations of the AMOC. In contrast to the classical multiple-equilibrium stochastic resonance (SR) framework for DO events proposed by Alley et al. (2001), the stochastic excitation of subthreshold relaxation-oscillations (the so-called coherence resonance (CR) (Pikovsky and Kurths, 1997)) relies on the co-existence of a limit cycle and an unstable equilibrium point in a relevant range of the parameter space of the system. In the presence of external periodic forcing SR and CR behave very differently. In the classical SR case, external forcing modulates the hopping probability from one equilibrium point to the other. For CR, external forcing can phase-synchronize the stochastically excited internal mode of nonlinear variability (Timmermann et al., 2003).

Adopting such idealized dynamical systems’ concepts to the climate record of the last 100 000 yr confronts us with a major problem: the characteristics of DO variability have undergone significant low-frequency changes. Orbital forcing is a likely candidate to modulate features of millennial-scale climate variability during the last glacial period. This has recently been confirmed by idealized modeling studies (Rial and Yang, 2007; Rial and Saha, 2008). Using the earth system model of intermediate complexity ECBilt-CLIO, the authors found that Greenland ice-core data can be interpreted in terms of a frequency modulated relaxation oscillation. According to their hypothesis internally generated deep-decoupling oscillations in the North Atlantic become frequency modulated by orbitally-induced changes of the solar radiation.

Inspired by their findings and the Schulz et al. (2007) and Jongma et al. (2007) study, we set forth to further elucidate the physical mechanisms responsible for the generation of millennial-scale climate variability in the ECBilt-CLIO climate model.

This paper is organized as follows: after a brief description of the model and the experiments in the subsequent two sections (2 and 3), we describe the main results from a suite of climate sensitivity experiments in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5 we discuss and summarize the main implications of our findings.
2 Model configuration

To quantify the effects of orbital forcing on the dynamics of the AMOC we conducted a series of climate sensitivity experiments using the atmosphere-ocean-sea ice-carbon cycle model LOVECLIM (Driesschaert, 2005). LOVECLIM is based on the ECBilt-CLIO EMIC extended by vegetation and marine carbon cycle components.

Its sea ice-ocean component (CLIO) (Goosse et al., 1999) consists of a primitive equation level model with $3^\circ \times 3^\circ$ resolution on a partly rotated grid in the North Atlantic. CLIO uses a free surface and is coupled to a thermodynamic-dynamic sea ice model. In the vertical there are 20 unevenly spaced levels with a thickness ranging from 10 m near the surface to $\sim$700 m below 3000 m. Mixing along isopycnals, vertical mixing as well as the effect of mesoscale eddies on transports and mixing and downsloping currents at the bottom of continental shelves are parametrized. The Bering Strait is closed in our simulations which inhibits freshwater transport from the Pacific into the Arctic.

The atmosphere model (ECBilt) is a spectral T21, based on quasigeostrophic equations with 3 vertical levels and a horizontal resolution of about $5.625^\circ \times 5.625^\circ$. Ageostrophic forcing terms are estimated from the vertical motion field and added to the prognostic vorticity equation and thermodynamic equation. Diabatic heating due to radiative fluxes, the release of latent heat and the exchange of sensible heat with the surface are parametrized. The seasonally and spatially varying cloud cover climatology is prescribed in ECBilt.

The ocean, atmosphere and sea ice component of the ECBilt-CLIO model are coupled by exchange of momentum, heat and freshwater fluxes. The hydrological cycle over land is closed by a bucket model for soil moisture and simple river runoff scheme. Due to the weakness of the tropical trade winds simulated by the model, the moisture transport from the Atlantic to the Pacific is too weak. To generate an Atlantic salty enough for an AMOC, a small correction for freshwater flux is prescribed redirecting snow- and rainfall over the Atlantic to the North Pacific.
The terrestrial vegetation module of LOVECLIM, VECODE is described by Brovkin et al. (1997). On the basis of annual mean values of several climatic variables, the VECODE model computes the evolution of the vegetation cover described as a fractional distribution of desert, tree, and grass in each land grid cell once a year. Within the LOVECLIM version used here, simulated vegetation changes affect only the land surface albedo, and have no influence on other processes such as evapotranspiration or surface roughness.

LOCH is a three-dimensional global model of the oceanic carbon cycle with prognostic equations for dissolved inorganic carbon, total alkalinity, phosphate, organic products, oxygen and silicates (Mouchet and Francois, 1996; Menviel et al., 2008a,b). LOCH is coupled to CLIO, using the same time step. Biogeochemical tracers in LOCH are advected with the CLIO circulation field and are subject to horizontal and vertical mixing. The near-surface oceanic uptake of CO₂ is governed by the solubility as well as the regional biological processes. The partial pressure of CO₂ ($p_{CO_2}$) in the surface waters is calculated from the total alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon. The difference between the $p_{CO_2}$ in the ocean and in the atmosphere, modulated by a wind-dependent exchange coefficient, determines the net CO₂ air-sea fluxes. LOCH computes the export production from the state of a phytoplankton pool in the euphotic zone (0–120 m). The phytoplankton growth depends on the availability of nutrients (phosphate) and light, with a weak temperature dependence. A grazing process together with natural mortality limit the primary production and provide the source term for the organic matter sinking to depth. Remineralization of organic matter depends on oxygen availability, but anoxic remineralization can also occur. Depending on the silica availability, phytoplankton growth is accompanied by the formation of opal or CaCO₃ (calcite and aragonite) shells, which then sink to depth. These shells are dissolved depending on the calcite and aragonite saturation states, whereas a simple constant rate is used for opal. The organic matter that is not remineralized and the shells that are not dissolved are permanently preserved in the sediments. The associated loss of alkalinity, carbon, phosphates and silicates, is balanced by the river influx of these
components. The atmospheric CO$_2$ content is predicted for each ocean time step from the air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes calculated by LOCH as well as from the air-terrestrial biomass CO$_2$ fluxes provided by VECODE.

3 Experiments

A set of experiments was conducted to study the effect of changes in earth’s obliquity on the internally generated low frequency variability of the AMOC (Table 1). A pre-industrial baseline simulation was obtained with LOVECLIM by first prescribing present-day orbital parameters, bathymetry, land albedo and topography and by forcing the model with an atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration of 287 ppmv for 500 yr. Thereafter by activating the coupling between the carbon cycle and the climate components, the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations are allowed to vary freely for another 1700 yr. The mean climate of the baseline run was described in Menviel et al. (2008a). A new control run (CTR, in Table 1) was integrated from this equilibrium for 8000 yr. Subsequently, 3 model runs were conducted for 5000 yr using obliquity values of 22.8°, 22.4° and 22.1° and keeping pre-industrial values for all other boundary conditions (see Table 1). In addition, a 2000 yr-long sensitivity run was performed with LOVECLIM using LGM-bathymetry values (Roche et al., 2007) and an obliquity value of 22.1°.

In the present study the model is exclusively forced by different obliquity values. No use has been made of additional constant (Schulz et al., 2007) or time-varying (Ganopolski et al., 1998) freshwater perturbations.

4 Results

4.1 AMOC response to obliquity forcing

The climatological formation sites of North Atlantic Deep Water in our model are located in the Eastern Greenland Iceland Norway (GIN) Sea, in the Labrador Sea and Irminger
Sea. The meridional streamfunction of the CTR run that characterizes the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (Fig. 1) exhibits the two main deepwater formation branches: one north and one south of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge.

Figure 2 compares the simulated variations in the GIN Sea overturning circulation (GSOC) (see Fig. 1) and the maximum of the AMOC (including the GIN Sea; in the following simply referred to as the AMOC index) for the control run (CTR) and the three obliquity runs (OBL22.8, OBL22.4, OBL22.1). Here we define the GSOC index as the maximum value of the meridional stream function north of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge, whereas the AMOC index refers to the overall maximum of the streamfunction in the North Atlantic.

For both indeces an increase in the level of internally generated centennial-to-millennial scale variability can be seen for decreasing values of obliquity. For obliquity values of 22.8° we observe an enhanced level of low-frequency variability in the GSOC index. However, similar changes in the AMOC strength are absent for this obliquity value. The situation changes drastically for obliquity values of 22.4°: GSOC varies by about ±2.5 Sv and these variations are accompanied by abrupt transitions between a strong AMOC state (∼26 Sv) and an intermediate state (∼17 Sv) (Fig. 2g). The timescale of this variability ranges from 1000–1500 yr. Further reduction of the obliquity to 22.1° (Fig. 2h) leads to a shift of the pause-pulse ratio: The AMOC is now preferentially operating in the intermediate regime, rather than in the strong AMOC state. Similar changes of the pause-pulse ratio of millennial-scale AMOC variability can be induced by external freshwater forcing, as described in the idealized box-modeling study of Schulz et al. (2002) and the LOVECLIM modeling study of Schulz et al. (2007) and Jongma et al. (2007). The resulting dynamics and the presence of an intermediate AMOC state for OBL22.4 and OBL22.1 are reminiscent of the existence of an AMOC limit cycle (Timmermann et al., 2003).
4.2 Mechanism of millennial-scale AMOC variability

To elucidate the physical mechanisms responsible for the generation of millennial-scale GSOC and AMOC variability, we focus on only one event in the OBL22.4 run (model years 2450–3050). Further statistical analysis (not shown) revealed that the same mechanism operates in the other experiments and for other individual events.

Figure 3b–g documents crucial stages during an AMOC cycle in the OBL22.4 experiment as well as the associated states of the two overturning cells (Fig. 3a). In our simulation an event is initiated by a random reduction of the GSOC. This reduction leads to a decrease of meridional heat transport into the GIN Sea and an associated decline in SST in the sinking region of ~2–5 K (not shown). The drop in SST causes an increase in sea ice coverage in the considered region (not shown). The position of the sea ice (defined here as the position of the 0.1 m sea ice thickness contour) shifts southward by several degrees latitude, now covering the major part of the sinking region. Sea ice insulates the ocean surface from heat loss which leads to a further reduction of the strength of the overturning (Fig. 3b). As a consequence of the sea-ice expansion, surface air temperature (SAT) decreases in the Nordic Seas by up to 20 K (Fig. 3c). The resulting geopotential height anomaly over the Eastern North Atlantic exhibits a baroclinic structure in the vertical similar to the response to negative SST anomalies in Deser et al. (2004). A surface boundary layer high pressure anomaly develops over the GIN Sea that is accompanied by low pressure anomalies over Southern Greenland and the Hudson Bay (Fig. 3c). As we shall see later the resulting wind stress anomalies over the Hudson Bay are crucial for the reduction of Labrador Sea convection and eventually the weakening of the AMOC. Due to the model representation of river runoff, sea surface salinity (SSS) in the Hudson Bay is about 1.4 psu lower (~33.60 psu) than in the adjacent Labrador Sea (~34.98 psu) – in accordance with the Levitus Climatology (Levitus, 1994). Under climatological conditions the zonal salinity gradient between Hudson Bay and Labrador Sea is maintained by north-westerly winds. As a result of the altered near surface pressure pattern (Fig. 3c), wind stress near Hudson Strait
changes its direction which results in a flush of fresher water from the Hudson Bay into the Labrador Sea (Figs. 3c, d and 4). Moreover, the anomalous low pressure near Hudson Bay leads to a significant increase in snow fall further freshening surface waters (not shown). The resulting drop of SSS in the Labrador Sea (Fig. 3d) leads to a major reduction of Labrador Sea convection and a subsequent decrease of the North Atlantic overturning circulation strength by about 8 Sv (Fig. 3a–phase d). A part of this fresh surface water is advected from the Labrador Sea into the GIN Sea, thereby maintaining the halocline in the GIN Sea. In OBL22.4 this state of reduced overturning lasts for about 300 yr. Anomalies in the annual mean convective layer depth (CLD) attain values of up to −200 m in the Labrador Sea and up to −400 m in the GIN Sea. The atmospheric response pattern to the GIN Sea near-surface temperature anomalies is persistent throughout the entire period of AMOC weakening (Fig. 3e). The recovery phase of the AMOC (Fig. 3f, g) is associated with subsurface warming of the GIN Sea (Fig. 3f). Subsurface temperature anomalies in this region reach values of up to 4 K. Compared to the unperturbed variance of subsurface temperature variations of 0.26 K, a 4 K anomaly during the recovery phase represents an unprecedented warming of the subsurface and deep-water layers – a phenomenon known as deep decoupling. Even though GSOC is strongly reduced in phases e and f (see Fig. 3a), warm and salty water from the North Atlantic Drift is still advected into the Nordic Seas and the Arctic at depths of 500–1500 m. Due to the lack of deep ocean convection, the inflowing warm and salty North Atlantic waters are not mixed with colder surface waters anymore. The subsurface temperatures and salinities in the GIN Sea become decoupled from the surface processes. Decomposing the impact of deep decoupling on the vertical density gradient reveals opposing effects of thermal and haline density components. In the absence of mixing with fresher surface water a salinity anomaly of 0.2–0.4 psu forms in depths >800 m. In conjunction with surface salinities being lower by about 2 psu this tends to stabilize the water column. But in the course of an AMOC weakening event the anomalies in vertical temperature gradient outbalance the ones in salinity and the

\[1\] In wintertime these anomalies are much larger.
water column becomes unstable. The increase of subsurface heat content eventually reduces the vertical density gradient to a point when surface convection is re-initiated (Fig. 3g) with the help of random surface flux variations. Previously stored subsurface heat is vented to the surface. Sea-ice coverage reduces and surface air temperature increases by up to 10 K. Associated atmospheric circulation changes generate surface wind anomalies near Hudson Bay, a reduction of snow fall in that area and a re-establishment of the original zonal salinity gradient between the Hudson Bay and the Labrador Sea. These processes initiate the recovery of the AMOC. As stated in the preceding analysis, SSS and meridional wind speed at the Hudson Strait form the key elements of fresh water flushes from the Hudson Bay into the Labrador Sea. Figure 4 shows a timeseries of these variables for the entire OBL22.4 model simulation. It becomes apparent that for all AMOC reductions observed in our simulation a decrease in SSS and meridional wind speed at the Hudson Strait is in phase with a decreasing AMOC demonstrating that the mechanism described above applies for all individual AMOC events.

4.3 Orbital effects on AMOC variability

In our model simulations, a close connection between AMOC stability and obliquity becomes apparent (Fig. 2) that requires further explanation. Figure 5 shows the relationship between the simulated variance of millennial-scale GSOC variations and a suite of relevant oceanographic variables in the Nordic Seas averaged for a strong GSOC state as a function of the obliquity forcing. We observe a pronounced increase of surface density in the Arctic with decreasing obliquity (Fig. 5a). Low obliquity values are associated with reduced seasonality, hence allowing for more annual sea ice build-up due to lower summer temperatures (Fig. 5c). This leads to an increase in Arctic surface salinity (Fig. 5b) and a decrease in SAT due to an ice-albedo feedback (Fig. 5d). In concert with increased upper ocean Arctic salinity and density, the annual mean convective layer depth in the GIN Sea sinking region also increases (Fig. 5e).
Changing obliquity from $23.446^\circ$ (present-day value) to $22.1^\circ$ leads to an increase of centennial to millennial-scale GSOC variations (Fig. 2a–d).

Figure 6 demonstrates why lower obliquity values may enhance GSOC variability during a strong GSOC state: a randomly occurring negative GSOC anomaly reduces poleward heat transport into the sinking regions. The resulting local increase of sea-ice depends on the prevailing obliquity. With lower obliquity values and hence colder summers there is a greater chance for the sea-ice anomaly to persist into the winter season. This leads to a reduction of air-sea fluxes and eventually an amplification of the negative GSOC anomaly. If these anomalies persist, the resulting atmospheric response (Fig. 3c) can trigger flushes of fresh water from the Hudson Bay into the Labrador Sea. Reduced formation of Labrador Sea Water leads to a major reduction of the AMOC. Therefore, obliquity forcing plays a crucial role in modulating the strength of the positive feedback between sea-ice and convection in the GIN Sea with subsequent effects on GSOC and AMOC.

4.4 Sensitivity experiments

To further elucidate what physical processes are responsible for the generation of simulated millennial-scale AMOC variability a series of sensitivity experiments was conducted. A key component of the proposed mechanism (Sect. 4.2) is the large-scale atmospheric response to GIN Sea temperature anomalies, which plays a fundamental role in flushing Hudson Bay freshwater anomalies into the Labrador Sea and hence in weakening the AMOC.

Here, we will address the question whether the simulated atmospheric anomaly pattern (Fig. 3c) is a consequence of the initial GSOC weakening and how it interacts with the latter.

We repeated the OBL22.4 run starting from a strong AMOC state. In the first 50 model years a sea surface temperature (SST) climatology was generated. Subsequently this climatology was applied for 200 model years everywhere as a lower boundary conditions for the atmospheric model, except for the GIN Sea, where simulated
temperatures were lowered artificially by lowering SST (as seen by the atmospheric model) year-round by an additional 3 K (see Fig. 7b). Thereafter, climatological SST forcing was applied for another 200 model years. Figure 7 shows the SAT, 800 mbar geopotential height and surface winds response to the GIN Sea SST perturbation. Anomalous high pressure forms in the Eastern GIN Sea in response to the lower-than-normal SST. Low pressure anomalies develop over Greenland and east of the Hudson Bay, in good qualitative agreement with the diagnosed atmospheric circulation changes during a weakened GSOC state (Fig. 3c). This experiment supports the notion of a strong atmospheric teleconnection between the GIN Sea and the Western North Atlantic that was proposed in Sect. 4.2 to explain the connection between GSOC and AMOC.

In a second sensitivity run we further investigated the role of the Hudson Bay in triggering the observed AMOC oscillations. The OBL22.4 run was repeated starting from model year 2401 during a strong AMOC state (see Figs. 2c, g and 3a). In the first 50 model years temperature and salinity climatology was generated for the Hudson Bay and subsequently applied for 950 yr. As can be seen in Fig. 8, the initial GSOC reduction still occurs in the perturbed run. However, due to prescribed salinity in the Hudson Bay, the teleconnection between GIN sea and Labrador Sea described above cannot be established. Thus the GSOC reduction does not trigger a convection shutdown in the Labrador Sea.

To demonstrate the effects of subsurface ocean warming on the recovery of the GSOC we designed a fully coupled experiment in which subsurface temperatures between 200–5500 m were climatologically prescribed in the GIN Sea during a weak GSOC phase. In this sensitivity experiment we repeated the OBL22.4 run for 500 yr starting in a weak GSOC/AMOC state (year 2801, see Fig. 2c, g). Here, the first 50 model years were used to create a subsurface temperature climatology for the GIN Sea. This climatology was then prescribed for the GIN Sea for the subsequent 200 yr for water depths between 200–5500 m. The model was integrated for another 300 yr with prognostic temperatures everywhere, including the GIN Sea. The resulting GSOC
and subsurface temperatures (averaged over the GIN Sea) can be seen in Fig. 9a, b. Shortly after model year 2900 GSOC in the original OBL22.4 (Fig. 9a and black line in c) experiment recovers abruptly. However, in the sensitivity run (Fig. 9b and blue line in c) prescribed subsurface temperatures impede further warming of sub thermocline waters in the GIN Sea. Accordingly stratification is not eroded and GSOC stays in a weak state. After returning to fully prognostic temperatures in the GIN Sea in model year 3001 GSOC increases by about 0.5 Sv and fully recovers in a thermohaline flush around model year 3100. This sensitivity experiment demonstrated that subsurface warming in the GIN Sea, that is reminiscent of deep-decoupling dynamics, plays a key role in the recovery of the AMOC.

4.5 Global Impacts of millennial-scale AMOC variability

In our model simulations, the consequences of an abrupt AMOC reduction of about 30% (in the case of the OBL22.4 and OBL22.1 run) can be detected on a global scale. Figure 10 shows the simulated composite differences in SAT and precipitation between a strong (AMOC>27 Sv) and a weak (AMOC<21 Sv) AMOC state for the OBL22.1 experiment. The main response pattern is the so-called bi-polar seesaw (Broecker, 1998) with large-scale warming in the Northern Hemisphere and cooling in the Southern Hemisphere. This pattern is associated with a northward displacement of the Intertropical Convergence Zone for strong overturning in the North Atlantic (Fig. 10). Over Greenland, millennial-scale SAT anomalies attain magnitudes of up to 7 K and are closely linked with ±6 Sv AMOC variations (Fig. 11). Simulated millennial-scale surface air temperature variations as well as their corresponding hydrological effects resemble the spatio-temporal characteristics of the well-known DO oscillations (Dansgaard et al., 1982; Stott et al., 2002; EPICA Community Members, 2006; Tjallingii et al., 2008). Whereas the simulated rainfall anomalies are relatively small (5–10%) over the equatorial oceans, their relative magnitudes over the Sahara and the Sahel are very considerable (∼40%).
The precipitation and temperature changes induce variations in terrestrial vegetation. Periods characterized by a weak AMOC are associated with a reduced terrestrial carbon stock (Fig. 12a, b). On the other hand, the relatively cold conditions prevailing during weak AMOC periods lead to greater CO$_2$ solubility and an increased storage of carbon in the ocean. During a weak AMOC state, the decrease in terrestrial vegetation is not entirely balanced by increased CO$_2$ solubility. Hence weak AMOC states are accompanied by an overall increase of atmospheric CO$_2$ by about 6 ppm. A similar mechanism for externally forced millennial-scale CO$_2$ variations was already proposed in Menviel et al. (2008a). However, it was already noted in Menviel et al. (2008a) that the details of the terrestrial and marine carbon cycle response to AMOC variations may strongly depend on the climate background state. Under colder and drier glacial conditions, the terrestrial carbon response might be reduced significantly.

4.6 Effects of different bathymetry

The driving mechanism for millennial-scale AMOC variability in our model heavily relies on the emergence of freshwater flushes from the Hudson Bay into the Labrador Sea and the subsequent reduction of Labrador Sea convection. However, during the last glacial period Hudson Bay was covered by the Laurentide ice sheet. Thus we expect that LGM boundary conditions would prevent the generation of millennial-scale AMOC variability in OBL22.1. To demonstrate this effect we repeated the OBL22.1 run using an estimate of the LGM-ocean bathymetry (LGM22.1 run, Table 1) (Roche et al., 2007). In addition, the river runoff mask as well as the land-sea fraction mask were adjusted to LGM values. Atmospheric topographic forcing was kept at present-day values.

Figure 13 clearly shows that LGM-bathymetry suppresses millennial-scale AMOC variability. Even though the GSOC index exhibits some sawtooth behavior with multiple, rapid increases of $\sim$1.5 Sv followed by gradual reductions, no significant centennial-to-millennial scale variability can be seen for the AMOC. A more detailed analysis (not shown) revealed that the atmospheric response to GSOC reductions is similar to the one shown in Fig. 3c with anomalous high-pressure over the GIN Sea sinking region.
and lower pressure between the southern tip of Greenland and Iceland. However, in the absence of a “freshwater pool” such as the Hudson Bay this atmospheric teleconnection is not able to trigger changes of Labrador Sea convection and hence suppresses AMOC variability.

5 Conclusions

This paper explored the mechanism responsible for the generation of centennial-to-millennial scale AMOC oscillations and their relation to obliquity in the LOVECLIM climate model. Our findings show that these nonlinear and stochastically excited oscillations disappear under glacial boundary conditions (namely glacial bathymetry). Similar to the findings presented by Schulz et al. (2007) we conclude that the mechanism identified for our model solution must be fundamentally different from the one that triggered real Dansgaard-Oeschger events during the last glacial period.

We also conducted several experiments with boundary conditions intermediate between pre-industrial and LGM as described in Rial and Yang (2007) and Rial and Saha (2008). We were able to reproduce their modeling results qualitatively (not shown) using flat ice-sheet boundary conditions and different orbital configurations. Hence, we assume that the physical mechanism underlying the millennial-scale DO-like oscillation in Rial and Yang (2007) and Rial and Saha (2008) is the same as the one diagnosed in our study. However, our closed Hudson Bay experiments and the sensitivity experiment using prescribed salinity in the Hudson Bay clearly demonstrate that the mechanism that is powering the simulated millennial-scale oscillations in ECBilt-CLIO/LOVECLIM has to be distinct from the one that triggers Dansgaard-Oeschger oscillations in reality – in contrast to the conclusions of Rial and Yang (2007) and Rial and Saha (2008).

The oscillations identified in ECBilt-CLIO described in Schulz et al. (2007) and Jongma et al. (2007) share several important features with the millennial-scale oscillations in our study. Among them are the atmospheric anomaly pattern, the magnitude of the AMOC oscillations and the important role of salinity. However, there appear to be key differences in the model simulations, such as the lack of a convection breakdown
in the GIN Sea in Schulz et al. (2007). Furthermore their advective horizontal recovery mechanism for Labrador Sea convection contrasts our deep-decoupling resumption mechanism for the GIN Sea.

While the oscillatory model solution may depend strongly on regional features, such as the existence or absence of the Hudson Bay, the overall simulated teleconnection patterns bear quite some similarity to those observed for DO events. In accordance with numerous paleo-data, we found that the ±6 Sv variations of the AMOC are accompanied by the bipolar seesaw pattern in surface air temperature and large-scale changes of tropical hydroclimate, with the northern tropics drying and the southern tropics becoming wetter for weak overturning in the North Atlantic. Corresponding precipitation changes affect the overall terrestrial carbon stock and hence atmospheric CO₂. A +6 Sv (−6 Sv) change of the AMOC generates atmospheric CO₂ anomalies of −3 ppm (+3 ppm). Future higher resolution ice-core data from Antarctica might help to confirm whether DO events were in fact accompanied by significant CO₂ variations in the direction predicted here.

Our coupled modeling results lend further support to the concept of deep-decoupling oscillations in 3-dimensional coupled climate models. Identified previously in simplified climate models (Ganopolski and Rahmstorf, 2002; Timmermann et al., 2003) or idealized OGCMs (Winton and Sarachik, 1993), our more realistic model configuration demonstrated the possibility for the emergence of deep-decoupling phases in the GIN Sea. By preventing deep-ocean warming in the GIN Sea we were able to suppress the rapid recovery of the AMOC. The GIN Sea appears to be a key region for the amplification of noise-induced variability of the overturning circulation.

Summarizing, different mechanisms have been postulated to explain the observed DO cycles using models of different complexity. Mechanisms include stochastic and periodic excitations of stable and metastable states (Ganopolski et al., 1998). However, without careful considerations of the orbital influences on internally generated millennial-scale AMOC variability, a convincing assessment of the mechanisms for observed DO variability remains elusive.
Acknowledgements. T. Friedrich and L. Menviel are supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number ATM-0712690. A. Timmermann and O. Timm are supported by the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC) through its sponsorship of the International Pacific Research Center. D. M. Roche is supported by NWO under the RAPID project ORMEN.

References


GRIP Project Members: Climate instability during the last interglacial period recorded in the GRIP ice core, Nature, 364, 203–207, 1993. 274


T. Friedrich et al.

Orbital modulation of AMOC variability

Table 1. Abbreviation, obliquity and bathymetry for different model runs. For all runs eccentricity, precession, sea level, land topography, land albedo and atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration were kept at pre-industrial values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model run</th>
<th>Obliquity</th>
<th>Bathymetry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTR</td>
<td>23.446°</td>
<td>present-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBL22.8</td>
<td>22.8°</td>
<td>present-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBL22.4</td>
<td>22.4°</td>
<td>present-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBL22.1</td>
<td>22.1°</td>
<td>present-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGM 22.1</td>
<td>22.1°</td>
<td>LGM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Mean Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation for the control simulation in Sv. The two cells that are referred to in the text – GIN Sea overturning circulation (GSOC) and maximum of Atlantic overturning circulation (AMOC) – are indicated by the labels.
Fig. 2. (a–d): GIN Sea overturning circulation (GSOC) and maximum of Atlantic overturning circulation (AMOC) (e–h) in Sv for indicated model simulations. A low pass filter of 50 yr was applied for green lines.
Fig. 3. (a) Anomalies of AMOC (black) and GSOC (blue) in Sv for the OBL22.4 run for the timeframe 2450–3050 (see also Fig. 2c,g). Dashed vertical lines denote averaging intervals for panels (b–g). (b) Anomalies in convective layer depth (CLD) in m (shaded) and surface heat flux (HFLX) in W/m$^2$ (contours). A positive HFLX anomaly is associated with a lower-than-normal heat loss of the surface ocean to the atmosphere. The red line indicates the mean GIN Sea sinking region in the model. (c) Anomalies of surface air temperature (SAT) in K (shaded), 800 mbar geopotential height in m$^2$/s$^2$ (contours) and wind velocity (arrows). (d) Anomalies of sea surface height in m (shaded) and sea surface salinity in psu (contours). (e) Anomalies in CLD in m (shaded), 800 mbar geopotential height in m$^2$/s$^2$ (contours) and wind velocity (arrows). (f) Anomalies of oceanic temperature in K averaged over 200–5500 m. (g) SAT anomalies in K (shaded) and CLD anomalies in m (contours). All anomalies in panels (b–g) are calculated against a strong AMOC state (years: 2400–2450) and averaged over the interval indicated by the respective letter in panel (a).
Fig. 4. (a) Meridional wind speed at Hudson Strait (red) in m/s and AMOC (blue) for OBL22.4 run. (b) SSS at Hudson Strait (red) in psu and AMOC (blue) for OBL22.4 run in Sv. Meridional wind speed and SSS were averaged over 80° W to 70° W and 60° N to 70° N. A running mean of 25 yr was applied for all timeseries.
Fig. 5. Annual mean variables (upper ocean density, upper ocean salinity, sea-ice thickness, surface air-temperature convective layer depth) averaged over 66° N to 90° N for a strong GSOC state versus variance of 50 yr low pass filtered GSOC for different model simulations (CTR, OBL22.8, OBL22.4, OBL22.1), representing different orbital configurations. An obliquity value of 23.446° was used for the control run (CTR).
**Fig. 6.** Linear regression coefficients (cm/m) of sea ice thickness anomaly and CLD anomaly during a strong GSOC state in the GIN Sea sinking region (red line in Fig. 3b) for different obliquity values. An obliquity value of 23.446° was used for the control run (CTR).
Fig. 7. (a) GIN Sea SAT anomaly (averaged over 15° W to 30° E and 65° N to 80° N) with respect to the model years 1 to 50 of the sensitivity experiment. The red lines separate the three stages of the experiment. (b) Anomalies in SAT in K (shaded), 800 mbar geopotential height in m²/s² (contours) and wind velocity (arrows) with respect to the model years 1 to 50 of the sensitivity experiment. The red rectangular indicates the region where the SST perturbation is applied to the GIN Sea atmosphere.
Fig. 8. (a) GSOC for original OBL22.4 run (blue) and (red) a restart of OBL22.4 run in which a temperature and salinity “climatology” in the Hudson Bay was generated from the years 2401 to 2450 and prescribed for 950 model years. (b) Same as in (a) for AMOC.
Fig. 9. (a and b) Hovmoeller diagrams of temperature averaged over GIN Sea in °C for original OBL22.4 run (a) and (b) a restart of OBL22.4 run in which a subsurface temperature “climatol-
ogy” in the GIN Sea was generated from the years 2801 to 2850 and prescribed for years 2851
 to 3000. Subsequently the model were run another 300 yr with prognostic temperatures in the
GIN Sea. (c) GSOC for original (black line) and manipulated (blue line) OBL22.4 run in Sv for
timeframe 2801–3300.
Fig. 10. SAT difference in K (shaded) and precipitation difference in cm/yr (contours) between a strong and a weak AMOC state for the OBL22.1 run. A weak/strong state is defined by an AMOC <21 Sv/>27 Sv. See also Fig. 2h. Note the non-linear color scale for SAT difference.
Fig. 11. (red) SAT over Greenland in °C for OBL22.1 run. SAT was averaged over 60° W to 30° W and 60° N to 80° N. (blue): AMOC for OBL22.1 run in Sv. A running mean of 25 yr was applied for both timeseries.
Fig. 12. (a) Atmospheric CO$_2$ content (red) in ppm and AMOC (blue) for OBL22.1 run. (b) Carbon reservoir anomalies for the ocean (red) and the vegetation (green) in GtC and AMOC (blue) for OBL22.1 run in Sv. A running mean of 25 yr was applied for all timeseries.
Fig. 13. GSOC (a) and AMOC (b) in Sv for an obliquity of 22.1 but LGM-bathymetry. A low pass filter of 50 yr was applied for green lines.