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I first want to thank the two reviewers for their work. The authors have made substantial editing in their second version, and I’m asking a further review.

Maybe I could comment some items of the authors’ response to reviewers.

Authors write that "GMD is not about scientific content". This is not fully true, as lot of GMD papers have a meaningful scientific content. However, documenting models and software tools fits the scope of GMD, i.e.(see GMD aim and scope http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/about/aims_and_scope.html). So the present scope of the paper seems ok for GMD.

Nevertheless, a GMD paper is not a model or software documentation, as the full
documentation is added as supplement. To determine what is of general interest to all readers and must be included in the article, and what is more specific to MESSy users and must remain in the attached documentation, is somewhat tricky. I suggest the authors to consider the comments of reviewers, before taking their own decision.
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