

Interactive comment on “The sea ice model component of HadGEM3-GC3.1” by Jeff K. Ridley et al.

A. Kerkweg

kerkweg@uni-bonn.de

Received and published: 4 October 2017

Dear authors,

In my role as Executive editor of GMD, I would like to bring to your attention our Editorial version 1.1:

<http://www.geosci-model-dev.net/8/3487/2015/gmd-8-3487-2015.html>

This highlights some requirements of papers published in GMD, which is also available on the GMD website in the ‘Manuscript Types’ section:

http://www.geoscientific-model-development.net/submission/manuscript_types.html

In particular, please note that for your paper, the following requirements have not been

C1

met in the Discussions paper:

- "All papers must include a section, at the end of the paper, entitled 'Code availability'. Here, either instructions for obtaining the code, or the reasons why the code is not available should be clearly stated. It is preferred for the code to be uploaded as a supplement or to be made available at a data repository with an associated DOI (digital object identifier) for the exact model version described in the paper. Alternatively, for established models, there may be an existing means of accessing the code through a particular system. In this case, there must exist a means of permanently accessing the precise model version described in the paper. In some cases, authors may prefer to put models on their own website, or to act as a point of contact for obtaining the code. Given the impermanence of websites and email addresses, this is not encouraged, and authors should consider improving the availability with a more permanent arrangement. After the paper is accepted the model archive should be updated to include a link to the GMD paper."
- Inclusion of Code and/or data availability sections is mandatory for all papers and should be located at the end of the article, after the conclusions, and before any appendices or acknowledgments. For more details refer to the code and data policy.

Please include a code availability section upon the revision of your article and provide it apriori as discussion answer to my comment.

Yours,

Astrid Kerkweg

C2

