Short Comments Received from GMD Executive Editor

We thank Lutz Gross for the comments. We have revised the manuscript following his comments. Our responses are compiled below (SC: short comment, AR: author’s response):

**SC:** I would like to suggest the following changes to your manuscript for a revised submission:

- upload your program code and input files (if applicable) as a supplement
- clarify the license under which the reader can use the supplementary program code, see also [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Creative_Commons_license](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Creative_Commons_license) (this should be done in the section “Code availability” in your manuscript but it is also recommended to add to the header of any script)
- State in the section “Code availability”:
  - a persistent access to the code (preferable as supplement)
  - license of use
  - the R versions required to run the scripts
  - the R version used to create the results shown in the paper

**AR:** We have included the codes in the supplement. We have reflected this change in the ‘code availability’ by including the license under which the codes can be used, the R version(s) that was used and is required to run the scripts.

The code availability section in the revised manuscript now reads:

“The R scripts used in this study are available in the supplemental material and can be found online at: [https://osf.io/a6q97/](https://osf.io/a6q97/). The R version 3.2.4 was used to create the scripts. A similar or higher version of R is required to run the scripts. The codes can be used, distributed, and reproduced for all non-commercial use under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/)), provided the original work is properly cited.”