The original version of this manuscript was reviewed at Climate of the Past (https://www.clim-past-discuss.net/cp-2018-106/ doi:10.5194/cp-2018-106). As the online review there shows, two positive reviews and some interesting comments from the community were received and responded to. The coordinator of the PMIP4 special issue in GMD and CP decided that the manuscript should have been submitted to GMD rather than CP. This is because it is very clearly a model experiment description. Therefore, the paper was withdrawn from CP, revised in accordance with the initial review at CP, and then submitted to GMD. The authors helpfully provided me with the diff file for their revision compared to the version reviewed at CP. After some further revision at the initial editor’s decision stage to make sure that the manuscript more or less complied with GMD requirements, I decided that it was sufficient to recall the two original CP reviewers. Both agreed to review, but only one has actually provided a review. I have decided to draw a line under the other reviewer’s repeated promises to respond soon, and have now checked carefully through their review at CP (reviewer 2 in the CP version), and the author’s response. I conclude (as you see in my short review!) that the authors adequately responded to that reviewer. Therefore, please revise the manuscript in accordance with the new comments from Reviewer 1 and my additional comments below, which were mostly brought to my attention by Reviewer 1’s original review at CP.

We thank the Editor for facilitating the transfer of the manuscript from Climate of the Past to Geoscientific Model Development. Please find our answer to comments in blue as well as suggested text changes in green.

1. In order for "recommend" to be interpreted as "should" you need to include a sentence in the manuscript where you state that this is your new definition of this word. Otherwise it will be misinterpreted. So, either define "recommend", or change "recommend" to "should" where relevant.

We have changed “recommend” into should.

2. Some information on the merger of the ice sheets was provided in the response to the reviewer, but I do not see this in the manuscript. Readers need to know what you have done. As well as a clear description, can you also provide the individual ice sheets and the code as well as the final result?

Some information on the merger has been added in section 4.1:
“The merger involves no extra smoothing, beyond that inherent in the GIA solver which involves transformation to spherical harmonics. The merger involves a simple masking operation with the mask boundary through Nares Strait, Baffin Bay, Davis Strait, and the Labrador Sea. Examination of the resultant topography shows small merger artifacts around Nares Strait ranging to a few hundred metres in elevation difference.”

The combined ice-sheet, as well as the individual Northern Hemispheric ice-sheet and the Greenland ice-sheet have been uploaded onto Research Data Australia repository at . http://handle.unsw.edu.au/1959.4/resource/collection/resdatac_874/1
The data will be published and the doi assigned on June 21st.

3. A similar theme for the Red Sea records... I am not convinced that the sea-level evolution result is reproducible from what you have written, so providing the associated data and code used to derive the new result would be very useful. The text, section 6 details the methodology taken to generate the new sea-level chronology. All the information necessary to redo the new chronology is in the Supplementary table 5, which shows the new tie points as well as associated comments.

4. The data availability section needs updating to include the information that the forcings are included in the supplement. All forcings should be in the manuscript itself, or in the supplement, or on a public repository with a unique and persistent identifier (such as a DOI)

The supplementary information includes the combined ice-sheet forcing, the meltwater scenarios, as well as the new sea-level chronology. The greenhouse gas forcing is already published and has a DOI that is provided. In addition, the combined ice-sheet forcing as well as the individual ice-sheets have been uploaded to Research Data Australia repository, where they will be publicly available and a DOI will be given on June 21st.

The data availability section has been updated to point the reader to the forcing files:

“The combined ice-sheet and meltwater scenarios are available in the Supplement. The GHG data can be found at [https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.871273](https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.871273). The combined ice-sheet, as well as the separate Northern Hemispheric and Greenland ice-sheets are also publicly available on the Research Data Australia repository at [http://handle.unsw.edu.au/1959.4/resource/collection/resdatac_874/1](http://handle.unsw.edu.au/1959.4/resource/collection/resdatac_874/1) and doi [to be added on June 21]. In addition, all the forcing files as well as the paleo-data described in the manuscript are available on the PMIP4 wiki: [https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla_t2](https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla_t2).”
As one of the reviewers of the original submission of this manuscript for ‘Climate of the Past’, I have already evaluated the paper once before transfer to GMD. My advice was publication with minor revisions. Reexamining the paper now, I confirm this judgment and note that the few suggestions I had, have all been addressed in the present version of the manuscript. I came across a few more minor points that can be addressed without the need for me to see the paper again.

We thank the Reviewer for their positive comment and careful review, which helped improve the manuscript. Please find our answer to comments in blue as well as suggested text changes in green.

Minor points:
P1 L2 Consider defining an abbreviation for "penultimate glacial maximum", which occurs several time throughout the manuscript (p8, p20, p25). Note that in one instance it is referred to as"(MIS 6)".
We are following the reviewer’s suggestion and using the abbreviation PGM for "penultimate glacial maximum" throughout the manuscript. MIS 6 was also replaced by PGM.

P2 L21 The interglacial states are different, which is important motivation for your experiment. Consider reformulation: Each of these long glacial periods were followed by relatively rapid multi-millennial-scale warmings into consecutive interglacial state*s*
This has been amended, thank you.

P2 L22 Consider explicitly adding 'deglaciations' here instead of line 24-25: These glacial-interglacial transitions, also called deglaciations, represent the largest natural global warmings and large-scale climate reorganisations across the Quaternary.
The beginning of the sentence L.22 was amended as follows:
“These deglaciations represent the largest…”
And the sentence L. 24-25 was taken out.

P2 L23 Avoid confusion with term "climate sensitivity": Hence, they provide a great opportunity to study the interaction between the different components of the Earth System and climate*s* sensitivity to changes in radiative forcing.
This has been amended, thank you.

P2 L24 "radiative forcing". At this point in the text, it has not yet been made clear that glacial-interglacial transitions are forced by orbital changes. So this comes a bit unexpected. consider reordering.
The first paragraph was re-ordered so that the importance of orbital changes is stated earlier on p2, L. 23.

P2 L30 Consider moving (Fig. 1) reference to the end of the sentence to avoid triple brackets.
This has been amended, thank you.
You are back to discussing five deglaciations, but we are looking at figure 1 now. Consider revising.

It is true that Figure 1 only focuses on the last two deglaciations, which are then discussed in details in the text. However, the two first paragraphs present a broad overview of the deglaciations of the last 450 ka. Therefore, we have kept the mention to the last five deglaciations.

Add 'concurrent' after "supported by the".

"concurrent" has been added.

"in the *Northern* North Atlantic".

“northern” has been added.

"Antarctic sea ice cover *change*"

We taken out at “changes” in front of “ocean circulation”, as the “changes in” L. 18 refers to all the processes discussed, i.e. solubility, alkalinity, iron….

"the last five deglaciations" or "the last two deglaciations".

We have modified the sentence so that it reads: “the last two deglaciations”.

You may want to somehow distinguish between the left and the right side in figure 1 to make your references more specific.

The reference to the figure now read “Fig. 1, right”.

Replace 'find no evidence' by 'provide no evidence’.

This has been amended, thank you.

Suggest to change the order in this sentence to: The eustatic sea-level during MIS 6 is estimated at 90-100 m lower than present-day (Rabineau et al., 2006; Grant et al., 2012; Rohling et al., 2017), with a relatively large uncertainty range (Rohling et al., 2017). This compares to >130 m lower than present-day during the LGM (Austermann et al., 2013; Lambeck et al., 2014).

The sentence has been amended as suggested, thank you.

I don't think you have already establish that the Holocene follows the last deglaciation?

The sentence was amended as follows: “The LIG also bears significant differences to the interstadial that followed the last deglaciation, i.e. the Holocene.”

This sentence suggests that climate, vegetation and carbon cycle respond to changes in the oceanic circulation, but they also respond directly to ice sheet disintegration. Suggest to remove
"and thus”.  
This has been amended.

P5 L22 add ‘forcing’ after ‘external’ and ‘dynamics’ after ‘internal’. Remove ‘forcings’.  
This has been amended.

P6 L6 Remove ‘the’ before ‘the’.  
This has been amended.

P6 L8 Reformulate " in concert with paleoclimate records"  
We have taken out " in concert with paleoclimate records".

P6 L9 Add "with coupled AOGCMs" after 140 to 127.  
This has been amended.

P6 L9 Suggest to start a new sentence: "This experiment provides a link" ...
This has been amended.

P6 L11 Clarify why the forcings at 127 are different. Maybe this should be explained earlier on.  
The sentence: “albeit with some differences in the ice-sheet and meltwater forcings at 127 ka.” was deleted. The difference between the end of the penultimate deglaciation experiments and the lig127k experiment is mentioned in section 7 (p19, L..29-31):
“Finally, the proposed experiment will provide a link to the PMIP4 transient simulation of the LIG (127 to 121 ka) as well as the PMIP4 127 ka timeslice experiment (liig127k) (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2017), even though the protocol of the LIG experiments includes pre-industrial continental ice-sheets.”

P6 L11-13 Consider adding section numbers to this manuscript overview.  
Section numbers have been added to this overview.

P9 L13 Remove "shallow" here as it is mentioned in l14.  
This has been amended.

P9 L16 Reference Payne 1999 is undefined. Maybe Payne, AJ., 1999, A thermomechanical model of ice flow in West Antarctica : Climate Dynamics. 15, p.115 - 125. However, if this is a Weertman type sliding law, a reference to Weetman seems more appropriate, especially because Payne 1999 is for an Antarctic case. Please also check all the other references once more.  
The reference to Payne 1999, Climate Dynamics has been added.
In the IcIES model the sliding velocity is related to the gravitational driving stress, as defined in Payne, 1999.
Still unclear what the first 240 ka model run looks like and why that is needed. Is it identical to the first run?

In order to get a good representation of the evolution of the Greenland ice-sheet over the penultimate deglaciation, a proper 140 ka state is needed. Given that with active bed thermodynamics (down to 4km), the thermodynamic equilibration timescale is greater than 100 kyr for GRIS, the most appropriate method is to start the run at 240ka.

The text has been amended as follows:

“Given that with active bed thermodynamics (down to 4km), the thermodynamic equilibration timescale is greater than 100 ka for the Greenland ice-sheet, the most appropriate method is to start the run during the previous interglacial period. Therefore, the model runs start at 240 ka with present-day ice and bedrock geometry...”

Add "a" before '2-way'.

This has been amended.

"Greenland loses its glacial grounded ice volume" is still confusing to me. It is not really possible to spatially distinguish glacial ice from the rest. I think you try to say that in this period the GrIS loses the ice mass in excess of the total present-day value and more. Maybe "In this simulation, the main phase of Greenland deglaciation occurs between 130 and 127 ka, during which Greenland first loses an ice mass of 2.9 m sle in excess of the total pre-industrial value, and then an additional 1.5 m sle."

The sentence has been amended as suggested.

Data availability I would reserve this section to information on where the forcing data can be accessed and move the instruction for the participating groups to elsewhere (e.g. section 8.4). I am not sure if a wiki page is acceptable as data source for GMD under the new editorial, but leave it to the editor to negotiate that.

We have removed the sentence with respect to uploading results to the ESGF database, as it is already included in section 6.3.

All the forcings are either included in the supplement (freshwater, ice-sheet forcing), already include a DOI (GHGs), or have now been assigned a DOI (ice-sheet). The data availability section now reads:

“The combined ice-sheet and meltwater scenarios are available in the Supplement. The GHG data can be found at [https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.871273](https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.871273). The combined ice-sheet, as well as the Northern Hemispheric and Greenland ice-sheets are also publicly available on the Research Data Australia repository (doi:).

In addition, all the forcing files as well as the paleo-data described in the manuscript are available on the PMIP4 wiki: [https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla_t2](https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design:degla_t2).”
P45 Table 3 - Is it clear which variables are XY, XZ and so on, or should this be clarified in the table?
A system of superscript was inserted in the table with \(^{(1)}\) for 1-dimensional variables, and \((X,Y)\) and \((Y,B)\) for (longitude, latitude) and (latitude, basin) variables, respectively.

P50 Fig 1
- Is it necessary to clarify that the summer solstice happens at different moments in SH and NH?
A sentence has been added in the legend:
“This corresponds to June 21st in the Northern Hemisphere and December 21st in the Southern Hemisphere;”
- Could you clarify in the text what motivates the relative alignment of these time windows?
The sentence below has been added in the Introduction (p3, L. 23-24):
“Figure 1 shows the evolution of key variables across 15 ka, from glacial maxima to peak interglacial conditions.”

- "Unless specified *differently*, all ...”.
This has been amended.

P54 Fig 5 - Correct some plotting artefacts:
- Close the grounding lines, e.g. in the NE in b)
- What does an inland closed circle GL mean? Does the calving flux go into a lake?
We have now corrected the plotting artifacts in the Greenland figure.

P55 Fig 6 - Can the plotting artefact at the date line be avoided? - remove ’0’ label on the grounding line contour.
The artefact at the date line has been fixed and the 0 ‘label’ removed.
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**Abstract.** The penultimate deglaciation (PDG, ∼138-128 thousand years before present, hereafter ka) is the transition from the penultimate glacial maximum (PGM) to the Last Interglacial (LIG, ∼129-116 ka). The LIG stands out as one of the warmest interglacials of the last 800 ka, with high-latitude temperature warmer than today and global sea level likely higher by at least 6 meters. Considering the transient nature of the Earth system, the LIG climate and ice-sheets evolution were certainly influenced by the changes occurring during the penultimate deglaciation. It is thus important to investigate, with coupled
Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs), the climate and environmental response to the large changes in boundary conditions (i.e. orbital configuration, atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, ice-sheet geometry, and associated meltwater fluxes) occurring during the penultimate deglaciation.

A deglaciation working group has recently been set up as part of the Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project (PMIP) phase 4, with a protocol to perform transient simulations of the last deglaciation (19-11 ka; although the protocol covers 26-0 ka). Similar to the last deglaciation, the disintegration of continental ice-sheets during the penultimate deglaciation led to significant changes in the oceanic circulation during Heinrich Stadial 11 (∼136-129 ka). However, the two deglaciations bear significant differences in magnitude and temporal evolution of climate and environmental changes.

Here, as part of the PAGES-PMIP working group on Quaternary Interglacials, we propose a protocol to perform transient simulations of the penultimate deglaciation under the auspices of PMIP4. This design includes time-varying changes in orbital forcing, greenhouse gas concentrations, continental ice-sheets as well as freshwater input from the disintegration of continental ice-sheets. This experiment is designed for AOGCMs to assess the coupled response of the climate system to all forcings. Additional sensitivity experiments are proposed to evaluate the response to each forcing. Finally, a selection of paleo records representing different parts of the climate system is presented, providing an appropriate benchmark for upcoming model-data comparisons across the penultimate deglaciation.

1 Introduction

Over the last 450 ka, Earth’s climate has been dominated by glacial-interglacial cycles with a recurrence period of about 100 ka. These asymmetrical cycles are characterised by long glacial periods, associated with a gradual cooling, a slow decrease in atmospheric greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations and a progressive growth of large continental ice-sheets in the Northern Hemisphere (NH), leading to a 60 to 120 m global sea-level decrease (???). These long glacial periods were followed by relatively rapid multi-millennial-scale warmings into an interglacial state. These glacial interglacial transitions consecutively represent the largest natural global warming and large-scale climate reorganisations across the Quaternary. Hence, they provide a great opportunity to study the interaction between the different components of the Earth System and climate’s sensitivity to changes in radiative forcing. These transitions from a glacial to an interglacial climate are also referred to as deglaciations.

Deglaciations are paced by an external forcing, i.e. variations in the seasonal and latitudinal distribution of incoming solar radiation (insolation) driven by changes in Earth’s orbit (?). However, changes in insolation alone are not sufficient to explain the amplitude of these major warmings and require amplification mechanisms. These amplification mechanisms are related to the large increase in atmospheric GHG concentrations (e.g. atmospheric CO₂ increases by 60 to 100 ppm (?)) (Fig. 1), the disintegration of NH ice-sheets and their associated change in albedo (?), as well as changes in sea-ice and vegetation cover (Fig. 1). Hence, deglaciations provide a great opportunity to study the interaction between the different components of the Earth System and climate’s sensitivity to changes in radiative forcing.
A pervasive characteristic of the five deglaciations of the past 450 ka is the occurrence of millennial-scale climate events (e.g. ????). In the North Atlantic, these events, also referred to as stadials, are characterised by a substantial weakening of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) formation (e.g. ????), possibly due to meltwater discharge into the North Atlantic (?). There is a link between these events and enhanced iceberg calving, supported by the concurrent presence of Ice Rafted Debris (IRD) in North Atlantic marine sediment cores, and the stadials that contain substantial IRD layers (identified as Heinrich Events) are known as Heinrich Stadials (e.g. ????).

A weakening of the Atlantic meridional heat transport during these stadials maintains cold conditions at high northern latitudes in the Atlantic sector (????) while contributing to a gradual warming at high southern latitudes (????), thus leading to a bipolar-seesaw pattern of climate changes. The strengthening of NADW formation at the end of stadials induces a relatively abrupt temperature increase in the northern North Atlantic and surrounding regions, and a sharp increase in atmospheric CH$_4$ and Asian monsoon strength (????). While a significant atmospheric CO$_2$ increase is also observed during the NADW recovery at the end of Heinrich stadials during deglaciations (?), the major phase of atmospheric CO$_2$ increase coincides with a Southern Ocean (e.g. ?) and Antarctic warming (????) (Fig. 1). The sequence of events leading to the deglacial atmospheric CO$_2$ increase is still poorly constrained. Still, it most likely resulted from a combination of processes (e.g. ?), including changes in solubility, global alkalinity content (e.g. ?), iron fertilization (e.g. ????), Antarctic sea ice cover (?), and changes in ocean circulation (e.g. ????). Changes in ocean circulation, and particularly variations in the formation rates of the main deep and bottom water masses, i.e. NADW and Antarctic Bottom Water, can significantly impact atmospheric CO$_2$ by modifying the vertical gradient in oceanic dissolved inorganic carbon (e.g. ????).

While they share similarities, the last two deglaciations also bear significant differences in amplitude and durations. Figure 1 shows the evolution of key variables across 15 ka, from glacial maxima to peak interglacial conditions. The two deglaciations initiate under a range of glacial ice-sheet states and progress under a variety of orbital-forcing scenarios (??)-(??) (Fig. 1). Although there are still many open questions, the sequence of events occurring during the last deglaciation, which represents the transition from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, Marine Isotope Stage 2, hereafter MIS2, 26-19 ka) to our current interglacial, is starting to emerge (???). The last deglaciation began with Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1, ∼18-14.7 ka) when part of the Laurentide and Eurasian ice-sheets disintegrated (??), draining freshwater to the North Atlantic, that may have contributed to the observed weakening of NADW formation (e.g. ????). HS1 was characterised by cold and dry conditions in the North Atlantic, over Greenland and Europe (????). Antarctic temperature and global atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration rose during this period. Paleoproxy records and modelling studies suggest that the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) shifted southward (e.g. ????), thus leading to dry conditions in most of the Northern tropics, including the northern part of South America (????) and the Sahel (??). Chinese speleothem records also indicate a weak East Asian summer monsoon activity during HS1 (??).

The abrupt NADW resumption at ∼14.7 ka, imprinted by a sharp atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration increase (?) (Fig. 1), led to a warm period in the North Atlantic region, the Bølling-Allerød (??) (Fig. 1, right). This period of North Atlantic warming coincides with (and may have triggered part of) the period of fastest sea-level rise, Meltwater Pulse-1A (MWP-1A) (?), during which sea-level rose by 14-18 m in less than 500 years, starting at ∼14.5 ka (e.g. ??). At high southern latitudes, the gradual
deglacial warming was interrupted by the Antarctic Cold Reversal (ACR, \( \sim 14.5 - 12.8 \) ka) (???), which was also coincident with a pause in the deglacial atmospheric \( \text{CO}_2 \) increase (e.g. ?). The ACR could be the result of enhanced northward heat transport from the Southern Hemisphere due to the strong NADW resumption occurring during the Bølling-Allerød (?), or from a meltwater pulse originating from the Antarctic ice-sheet at the time of MWP-1A (????).

A return to stadial conditions over Greenland, Europe and the North Atlantic occurred during the Younger Dryas (~12.8-11.7 ka, Fig. 1, right) (?). This event likely resulted from a combination of processes (?), possibly including a weakening of NADW formation resulting from an increase in meltwater discharge into the Arctic Ocean (????), or melting of the Fennoscandian ice-sheet (?), and an altered atmospheric circulation due to a minimum in solar activity (?). While the Barbados coral record suggests that a second phase of rapid sea-level rise occurred at about 11.3 ka (MWP-1B) (e.g. ?), data from Tahiti boreholes (?) and from a compilation of sea-level data (?) find provide no evidence of a particularly rapid sea-level rise during that period.

The penultimate deglaciation (~138-128 ka, referred here as PDG), which represents the transition between the penultimate glacial period PGM (MIS 6, also referred to as Late Saalian, 160-140 ka) and the LIG (also referred to as MIS 5e in marine sediment cores) (?), has received less attention. MIS 6-The PGM was characterised by an atmospheric \( \text{CO}_2 \) content of \( \sim 195 \) ppm (?), and a significantly different extent of NH ice-sheets compared to the LGM (?????). Compared to \( \sim 130 \) m lower or more during the LGM than during present-day (???)—the eustatic sea-level during MIS 6-the PGM is estimated at \( \sim 90-100 \) m lower than present-day (???), with a relatively large uncertainty range (?). This compares to \( \sim 130 \) m lower or more than during present-day during the LGM (???). The LIG also bears significant differences to the interstadial that followed the last deglaciation, i.e. the Holocene. Latest data-based estimates suggest that sea-level was \( \sim 6 \) to \( 9 \) m higher during the LIG than today, thus implying a significant ice-mass loss from both the Greenland and Antarctic ice-sheets (e.g. ?). In addition, compared to pre-industrial times, high-latitude SST and Greenland surface temperatures were respectively \( \geq 1 \)°C and 3 to 11°C greater during the LIG (e.g. ???). Considering the transient nature of the Earth system, a better understanding of the PDG could thus improve our knowledge of the processes that led to continental ice-mass loss during the LIG.

Recent work (???) also depicted a sequence of events over the PDG that contrasts with the one across the last deglaciation. Paleo-proxy records indicate that the disintegration of NH ice-sheets induced a \( \sim 80 \) m sea-level rise (?) between 135 and 129 ka. This is also concomitant with Heinrich Stadial 11 (HS11) (e.g. ???). HS11 was characterised by weak NADW formation (????), cold and dry conditions in the North Atlantic region (????), and gradually warmer conditions over Antarctica (?), associated with a sustained atmospheric \( \text{CO}_2 \) increase of \( \sim 60 \) ppm between \( \sim 134 \) and 129 ka (?) (Figs. 1, 2). Increasing evidence of sub-millennial scale climate changes at high and low latitudes during HS11 (e.g. ?) prompts the need to refine the sequence of events across the PDG. However, this is challenging as (i) climatic reconstructions over the PDG are still scarce and most records have insufficient resolution to allow identification of centennial- to millennial-scale climatic variability; and (ii) it is difficult to establish robust absolute and relative chronologies for most paleoclimatic records across this time interval (?)

While our knowledge of the processes and feedbacks occurring during deglaciations has significantly improved over the last two decades (e.g. ?????), many unknowns remain. For example, our understanding of the precise roles of atmospheric and oceanic processes in leading to the waning of glacial continental ice-sheets during deglaciations is still incomplete. It is also
crucial to comprehend the subsequent impacts of continental ice-sheets disintegration on the oceanic circulation and thus the climate, the terrestrial vegetation and the carbon-cycle system.

Numerical simulations performed with climate models provide a dynamical framework to understand the response of the Earth system to external forcing (i.e. insolation) and internal dynamics (e.g., albedo, GHGs) forcings that culminate in deglaciations. Atmospheric and oceanic teleconnections associated with millennial-scale variability can also be studied in detail. Model-paleoclimate proxy comparisons, including snapshot experiments at 130 ka and at 126 ka, suggest that the inclusion of freshwater forcing in the North Atlantic due to the melting of NH ice-sheets could explain the relatively cold conditions in the North Atlantic and warm conditions in the Southern Ocean observed in paleodata during these time periods (??). However, snapshot experiments assume that the climate state is in near-equilibrium, and because relatively rapid and large changes in both internal and external forcings occur during deglaciations, transient simulations (i.e. numerical simulations with time-varying boundary conditions) are needed. These simulations also allow a more robust paleodata-modelling comparison, thus enabling the refinement of the sequence of events.

Transient numerical simulations have already been performed for the last deglaciation (?????) and provide a dynamical framework to further our understanding of the climate-change drivers, teleconnections and feedbacks inherent in the Earth system. Transient simulations covering the period 135 to 115 ka have also been performed with a range of models to understand the impact of surface boundary conditions and freshwater fluxes on the LIG (??). The need for transient simulations is now recognised and a protocol to perform transient experiments of the last deglaciation as part of PMIP4 has been recently established (?). However, to further our understanding of the processes at play during deglaciations, including the role of millennial-scale climate change, other deglaciations should be studied in detail. Transient simulations of the penultimate deglaciation could also help to understand the climate and sea-level highstand occurring during the LIG. We thus propose to extend the PMIP4 working group on the last deglaciation to include the penultimate deglaciation and thus create a Deglaciation working group. This effort will complement the last deglaciation experiments of PMIP4 (?), allowing an evaluation of the similarities and differences in the climate system response during the last and the penultimate deglaciations in concert with paleoclimate records.

Here we present a protocol to perform transient numerical simulations of the PDG from 140 to 127 ka in order to with coupled AOGCMs. These experiments will provide a link with the PMIP4 transient LIG experiment (127 to 121 ka) (?), albeit with some differences in the ice-sheet and meltwater forcings at 127 ka. After a description of changes in insolation, GHGs (section 2), GHGs (section 3), continental ice-sheets, sea level and oceanic circulation (section 4), and sea-level (section 5) occurring during the PDG, we present a framework to perform transient simulations of PDG (sections 6 and 7), as well as a selection of key paleoclimate and paleoenvironmental records to be used for model/data comparisons (section 8).

2 Insolation

The orbital parameters (eccentricity, obliquity, and longitude of perihelion) should be time evolving and set following ?. This external forcing affects the seasonal and latitudinal distribution, as well as the magnitude of solar energy received at the top of
the atmosphere and, in the case of obliquity (Earth’s axial tilt), the annual mean insolation at any given latitude with opposite, but small, effects at low and high latitudes. Eccentricity is high during the entire deglaciation period, ranging from 0.033 at 140 ka to 0.041 at 127 ka, and is significantly higher than during the last deglaciation (Fig. 1b; ~0.019 at the LGM to 0.020 at 14 ka), and than the present value of 0.0167. Obliquity peaks at 131 ka; the degree of tilt is similar between the last and the penultimate deglaciation. Perihelion occurs near the NH winter solstice at 140 ka, shifting to near the NH summer solstice by 127 ka.

Although the overall trends in summer solstice insolation anomalies, as compared to the mean of the last 1000 years, evolve similarly in the last and penultimate deglaciations, the magnitudes of the maximum positive summer anomalies in the NH and the minimum negative summer anomalies in the Southern Hemisphere are much greater during the PDG, when eccentricity is higher, than during the last deglaciation (Fig. 1a). At 65°N, peak summer anomalies of more than 70 W/m² occur at 128 ka. In contrast, during the last deglaciation, the 65°N summer solstice anomalies peak at 11 ka, with anomalies of ~50 W/m². Similarly, 65°S summer solstice negative anomalies are close to -40 W/m² at 127 ka, but only about -20 W/m² at 10 ka. In addition, the rates of change of summer solstice anomalies are greater from 140 to 127 ka than from 21 to 8 ka.

Given the clear differences between the solar forcing of last and penultimate deglaciations, comparing the two transient deglacial simulations will provide valuable information on the underlying mechanisms and Earth system feedbacks. The solar constant should be set to 1360.7 W/m², consistent with the CMIP6-PMIP4 piControl and lig127k simulations (?) as well as the PMIP4 transient climate simulation of the last deglaciation (?).

3 Greenhouse gases

GHG records are available solely from Antarctic ice cores across the time interval 140-127 ka (Fig. 2). LIG GHG records from the NEEM and other Greenland ice cores are affected by stratigraphic disturbances and in-situ CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O production (e.g. ??). The NGRIP ice core provides a continuous and reliable CH₄ record but it only extends back to ~123 ka (?). After a brief description of We first briefly describe existing atmospheric CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O records (below), we recommend using The recent spline-smoothed GHG curves calculated from a selection of those records (?) should be used. They have the benefit to provide continuous GHG records, with a temporal resolution of 1 yr on the commonly-used AICC2012 gas age scale (??).

This time scale is associated with an average 1σ absolute error of ~2 ka between 140 and 127 ka.

Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have been measured on the EDC and TALDICE ice cores (Fig. 2). The EDC records from ? and ? agree well overall. The ? dataset depicts a long-term CO₂ increase starting at ~137.8 ka and ending at ~128.5 ka with a centennial-scale CO₂ rise above the subsequent LIG CO₂ values, also referred to as an "overshoot". The CO₂ overshoot is smaller in the ? dataset compared to a similar feature measured in ?: while the former displays a relatively constant CO₂ concentration of ~275 ppm between 128 and 126 ka, the latter shows a CO₂ decrease from 280 to 265 ppm between 128 and 126 ka. The offsets between CO₂ records from the same EDC core are likely related to the different air extraction techniques used in the two studies (?). The smoothed spline CO₂ curve we recommend using, that should be used as forcing for the PDG
is based on those two EDC dataset and the calculation method accounts for such potential difference in local maxima (details provided in ?).

Atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration records from Vostok, EDML, EDC and TALDICE agree well within the gas-age uncertainties attached to each core (Fig. 2). They illustrate a slow rise from $\sim$390 to 540 ppb between $\sim$137 ka and 129 ka that is followed by an abrupt increase of $\sim$200 ppb reaching maximum LIG values at $\sim$128.5 ka. Because CH$_4$ sources are located mostly in the NH, an interpolar concentration difference (IPD) between Greenland and Antarctic CH$_4$ records exists.

For instance, an IPD of $\sim$14 ppb, $\sim$34 ppb and $\sim$43 ppb is reported during the LGM, Heinrich Stadial 1 and the Bølling warming respectively (?). However, without reliable CH$_4$ records from Greenland ice cores, it remains challenging to estimate the evolution of the IPD across the deglaciation. Hence, for the atmospheric CH$_4$ forcing of transient PDG simulations, we recommend using the smoothed spline CH$_4$ curve, which is solely based on the EDC CH$_4$ record (?).should be used, recognising that the values may be 1-4% lower than the actual global average.

Both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentrations undergo some rapid changes around 140 ka, which is also the time when the models should spin-up. To avoid possible artificial abrupt changes in the GHG concentrations, the average values obtained for the interval 139-141 (i.e. 191 ppm for CO$_2$ and 385 ppb for CH$_4$, Table 1) should be used as spin-up CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentrations. Consequently, CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ changes between 140 and 139 ka provided in the forcing scenarios are linearly interpolated between the 140 ka spin-up values and those at 139 ka of 196.68 ppm for CO$_2$ and 287.65 ppb for CH$_4$. From 139 ka, the use of the spline-smoothed curves from ? are recommended.should be used.

Atmospheric TALDICE, EDML and EDC N$_2$O records are available between 134.5 and 127 ka (Fig. 2) (?). From 134.5 to 128 ka, N$_2$O levels increase from $\sim$220 to 270 ppb. Following a short decrease until $\sim$127 ka, N$_2$O concentrations stabilise afterwards. No reliable atmospheric N$_2$O concentrations are available beyond 134 ka as N$_2$O concentrations measured in the air trapped in ice from the penultimate glacial maximum PGM are affected by in-situ production related to microbial activity (?). During the LGM (considered here as the time interval 26-21 ka), the average N$_2$O level was $\sim$201 ppb. Assuming the LGM is an analogue for the penultimate glacial maximum PGM, we propose a 140 ka spin-up value and N$_2$O transient forcing curve that starts with a 201 ppb level and then linearly increases to 218.74 ppb at 134.5 ka. From 134.5 ka, we recommend using the N$_2$O smoothed spline curve calculated by ? and, which is based on the TALDICE and EDC discrete N$_2$O measurements, should be used.

The CO$_2$ and N$_2$O levels from the spline curves at 127 ka (274 ppm and 257 ppb) only differ from the values chosen as boundary conditions for the PMIP4 lig127k equilibrium experiment by 1 ppm and 2 ppb respectively (?). The comparison is less direct for CH$_4$. Indeed a global CH$_4$ value (685 ppm) rather than an Antarctic ice core-based CH$_4$ value (e.g. CH$_4$ level of 660 ppm at 127 ka in ?) is proposed as forcing for the lig127k simulations. However, this difference in global atmospheric CH$_4$ and Antarctic ice core CH$_4$ concentration is similar to the one observed during the mid-Holocene (23 ppb) (?).
4 Continental ice-sheets

Changes in continental ice-sheets during the deglaciation will significantly impact the climate system through their albedo, which will directly affect the radiative balance (e.g. ?). Changes in continental ice-sheets geometry can also significantly impact atmospheric dynamics (e.g. ??). Transient simulations of the PDG will thus need to be forced by the 3-dimensional and time-varying evolution of continental ice-sheets, that is currently only available from numerical simulations. However, simulating the evolution of continental ice-sheets across the PDG is associated with large uncertainties, due to the climate forcing of the ice-sheet models and poorly constrained non-linearities within the ice-sheet system. Glacial geological data (e.g. glacial deposits, glacial striations...) are also available to constrain continental ice-sheet evolutions and can thus provide an estimate of the uncertainties associated with the numerical ice-sheet evolutions. In this section, we describe the available numerical ice-sheet evolutions to use as a forcing of the transient simulations of the PDG. We further compare the results of these simulations with existing glacial geological constraints.

4.1 Combined ice-sheet forcing

To facilitate the transient simulations of the PDG, we are providing a combined ice-sheet forcing (available in the Supplement and on the PMIP4 wiki), in which separate reconstructions of different ice-sheets have been merged. As the sea-level solver assumes an equilibrium initial condition, the simulations start at the previous interglacial. As is standard, the solver also requires present-day ice-sheet histories to bias correct against present-day observed topography. Thus, a full 240 ka ice-sheet history is required. The simulated NH ice-sheet evolution, described in Section 4.2 (?), is merged with the simulated Greenland and the Antarctic (?) evolutions described in Sections 4.3 and 4.4, respectively. The resolution of the merged ice-sheet file is 1° longitude by 0.5° latitude. The merger involves no extra smoothing, beyond that inherent in the glacial isostatic adjustment solver, which involves transformation to spherical harmonics. The merger involves a simple masking operation with the mask boundary through Nares Strait, Baffin Bay, Davis Strait, and the Labrador Sea. Examination of the resultant topography shows small merger artifacts around Nares Strait ranging to a few hundred metres in elevation difference.

From the LIG onward, the combined ice-sheet evolution, referred to as GLAC-1D in PMIP4, is used (e.g. ?). GLAC-1D includes the Greenland and Antarctic ice-sheets components described in section 4.3 and 4.4 (?), the North American ice-sheet simulation described in ? and the Eurasian ice-sheet simulation described in ?. The ice-sheet thickness from these simulations are run through a sea-level solver using the VM5a (?) Earth rheology to extract a gravitationally self-consistent topography. The surface topography is then run through a global surface drainage solver (using the algorithm described in ?) to extract the relevant surface drainage pointer field for each time-slice. This will indicate in which ocean grid cell each terrestrial grid cell will drain into.

4.2 North American and Eurasian ice-sheets

The evolution of NH ice-sheets during the PDG is given by a numerical simulation performed with the thermo-mechanically coupled shallow ice-sheet model IcIES (Ice-sheet model for Integrated Earth system Studies) with an original reso-
olution of 1° by 1° in horizontal and 26 vertical levels (Fig. 3). ICIES uses the shallow ice approximation and computes the evolution of grounded ice but not floating ice shelves. The sliding velocity is related to the gravitational driving stress according to Payne (1999) and basal sliding only occurs when the basal ice is at the pressure melting point. This ice-sheet model was driven by climatic changes obtained from the MIROC GCM, which was forced by changes in insolation and atmospheric CO₂ concentration. In global agreement with glacial geological constraints and other numerical simulations of NH ice-sheets evolution, the simulated extent and volume of the North American ice-sheet was smaller during MIS 6 than MIS 2 than the LGM (Fig. 3).

In Eurasia, MIS 6-PGM recorded the most extensive glaciation since MIS 12. The maximum extent of the Fennoscandian ice-sheet probably occurred at ~160 ka, when it extended into central Netherlands, Germany, and the Russian Plain. This was followed by a partial melting of the Fennoscandian ice-sheet, peaking between ~157-154 ka, and a readvance after 150 ka. The maximum extent of the NH ice-sheets probably occurred at the end of MIS 6-PGM. In Europe the late MIS 6-PGM ice advance was less extensive than at ~160 ka, but that was compensated by ice-sheet expansion in Russia, Siberia and in North America (e.g. PGM). Glacial geological constraints (e.g. PGM) indeed suggest that the Barents-Kara ice-sheet extended further during MIS 6 than in MIS 2 than the LGM. The simulated Eurasian ice-sheet is in general agreement with the reconstruction of PGM, with a dome reaching 3000 m over the Kara Sea during MIS 6-PGM that subsequently disintegrated across the deglaciation. However, the extent and volume of the simulated Eurasian ice-sheet might be underestimated since it is smaller at MIS 6-PGM than MIS 2-PGM than LGM, whereas reconstructions suggest it should be larger at MIS 6-PGM.

Further suggestions that the ice volume was almost equally distributed between Eurasia and North America at MIS 6-PGM, with a 33 to 53 m global mean sea level equivalent (sle) contribution from the Eurasian ice-sheet and 39-59 m from North America, whereas the ice-sheet simulation produces a ~24 m sle contribution from Eurasia. Thus, the volume of the North American ice-sheet may also be overestimated.

In the ice-sheet simulation, NH ice-mass loss follows closely the boreal summer insolation and occurs mostly between ~134 and 127 ka (Fig. 4), with two peaks of glacial meltwater release at ~131 ka and 128 ka. By 132 ka, the Eurasian ice-sheet has decreased significantly and the southern and western flanks of the North American ice-sheet have disintegrated (Fig. 3). Another significant retreat of the North American ice-sheet occurs between 132 and 128 ka, at which point it is mostly restricted to the north of the Hudson Bay. By 127 ka, the North American ice-sheet only remains over Baffin Island.

4.3 Greenland ice-sheet

The Greenland model uses an updated version (GSM.G7.31.18) of the Glacial Systems Model (e.g. PGM) run at grid resolution of 0.5° longitude by 0.25° latitude. The model has been upgraded to hybrid shallow-ice and shallow-shelf physics, with ice dynamical core from PGM and includes: a 4 km deep permafrost resolving bed thermal component, visco-elastic bedrock response with global ice-sheet and sea-level loading, sub-shelf melt, parametrizations for subgrid mass-balance and ice flow, and updated parametrizations for surface mass-balance and ice calving.
Model. Given that with active bed thermodynamics (down to 4km), the thermodynamic equilibration timescale is greater than 100 ka for the Greenland ice-sheet, the most appropriate method is to start the run during the previous interglacial period. Therefore, the model runs start at 240 ka with present-day ice and bedrock geometry and with an ice and bed temperature field from the end of a previous 240 ka model run. The model is then forced from 240 ka until 0 ka, with a climate forcing that is partly glacial index based, using a composite of a glaciological inversion of the GISP II regional temperature change (for the last 40 ka) and the synthetic Greenland δ18O curve that was deduced from the Antarctic EDC isotopic record assuming a thermal bipolar seesaw pattern (?). The climate forcing also includes a 2-way coupled 2D energy balance climate model (?) to capture radiative changes.

Greenland ice-sheet model runs are scored against a large set of constraints including relative sea level (RSL), proximity to present-day ice-surface topography, present-day observed basal temperatures from various ice cores, time of deglaciation of Nares Strait, and the location of the present-day summit. The last 20 ka of the run is critical as this represents the time period with most of the data constraints for Greenland. The simulation presented here (G9175) is a least misfit model from a preliminary exploratory ensemble.

This simulation suggests no significant change in Greenland ice-mass until ∼134 ka (Fig. 4), followed by a small ice-mass loss, mostly from floating ice, between 134 and 130 ka. In this simulation, the main phase of Greenland deglaciation occurs between 130 and 127 ka, during which Greenland loses its glacial grounded ice volume (∼an ice mass of 2.9 m sle), but also loses in excess of the total pre-industrial value, and then an additional 1.5 m sle, compared to the pre-industrial Greenland configuration. As shown in Figure 5, the extent and height of the Greenland ice-sheet is significantly smaller at 128 ka than at 132 ka. Greenland ice-mass loss is particularly evident on its western side, with a part of southwestern Greenland being ice-free. To a first order, the simulated disintegration of the Greenland ice-sheet follows the increase in boreal summer insolation and in atmospheric CO2 (Fig. 1).

The main phase of the Greenland ice-sheet retreat in this simulation is globally in agreement with proxy records, which suggest significant runoff in the Labrador Sea at ∼130 ka and at ∼127 ka (e.g. ?). However the simulated Greenland ice-sheet disintegration could be too rapid as paleoproxy records suggest significant meltwater discharge from the Greenland ice-sheet throughout the LIG (e.g. ?). In addition other model simulations suggest a maximum sea-level contribution from Greenland at ∼123-121 ka (??), in agreement with the timing of the LIG minimum elevation at the Greenland NEEM location. This minimum elevation estimate was reconstructed from total air and water isotopic records measured on the deep ice core drilled at that site (?). Based on the paleoproxy records and model simulations, the protocol for the PMIP4 LIG simulation for 127ka (lig127k) recommends a pre-industrial Greenland configuration.

4.4 Antarctic ice-sheet

The Antarctic model configuration is largely that of ??: a hybrid of the Penn State University ice-sheet model (?) and the GSM. Simulations are run with a 40-km grid resolution using the LR04 benthic δ18O stack (?) for sea-level forcing. The climate forcing is a parametric mix of an index based approach (using the EDC δD record of ?) and one based on orbital forcing, as detailed by ?. The parameter vector (nn4041 from ?) that gave the best fit to constraints (GSM-A) in the large ensemble
analysis is used. Two changes are imposed on the model to partially rectify an inadequate LIG sea-level contribution. First, SST dependence is added to the sub-shelf melt model. Second, to compensate for inadequate LIG warming, where SSTs are above present-day values, they are then given a minimum value of 3°C (i.e. SST=MAX(SST,3.0°C)). Even so, the Antarctic contribution to the LIG high-stand is only 1.4 m s.l.e and is therefore inadequate given current inferences (as well as constraints on contributions from Greenland and steric effects) (e.g. ?).

The simulation suggests a continuous Antarctic ice-sheet discharge during the PDG, with a glacial ice-mass loss of ∼12.5 m s.l.e between 140 and 131 ka, followed by an additional 1.4 m s.l.e between 131 and 130 ka (Fig. 4). In this simulation, the West Antarctic ice-sheet loses significant ice-mass between 140 and 136 ka (Fig. 6), with a retreat of the grounding line over the Ross Sea as well as ice-mass loss in the Weddell Sea, on the Antarctic Peninsula and in the Amundsen Sea sector. By 132 ka, the grounding line has completely retreated over the Ross Sea and has retreated significantly over the Weddell Sea.

5 Sea-level

Direct evidence for constraining the evolution of the global sea level during the time interval 140-127 ka remains sparse. Although the LR04 benthic δ¹⁸O stack (?) is sometimes used to approximate sea-level change on glacial-interglacial timescales, in the case of the PDG, the timing of the LR04 benthic δ¹⁸O stack is fixed by reference to a handful of U-series coral dates from Huon Peninsula with relatively high analytical uncertainties and questionable preservation (??). Tying the MIS 5e peak to the average age of these coral dates results in a benthic δ¹⁸O minimum that is roughly centred on the main phase of coral growth during this interglacial period (122 - 129 ka) (?) rather than having the onset of the interglacial aligned with the timing of the onset of the sea level high-stand at far-field sites (∼129 ka) (e.g. ??).

Here, we seek to provide an improved reconstruction of sea level across the PDG by examining available RSL records. Information on the timing and magnitude of the changes across this time interval is provided by three RSL records (Fig. 7):

i) A RSL record from the Red Sea (?), that is deduced from the planktic foraminifera δ¹⁸O measured on sediment cores retrieved in this evaporative marginal sea. This record is transformed into a RSL signal by using hydraulic models that constrain the salinity of surface waters as a function of sea level. The Red Sea record provides the only continuous profile of RSL across our interval of interest;

ii) RSL data from the U-series dates and elevations of the submerged coral reefs of Tahiti (?), and

iii) RSL data derived from U-series dates and elevations of uplifted coral terraces of Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea (?).

Providing a robust age model for sediment records from the PGM to the LIG is not straightforward (e.g. ?) and over time, several age models have been proposed for the Red Sea RSL record (e.g. ??). The latest chronology is based on climatic alignment of the Red Sea RSL record to eastern Mediterranean planktic foraminifera δ¹⁸O records, which are in turn aligned onto the absolutely-dated Soreq cave speleothem δ¹⁸O record (?). While the absolute ages of the speleothem record have the potential to provide a more robust age model (both in terms of accuracy and precision), the application of these dates to the Red Sea sea level reconstruction hinges on the assumption that the tie points between the Red Sea and eastern Mediterranean records have been correctly assigned, and that the intervals between these tie points can be linearly extrapolated.
The Tahiti and Huon Peninsula corals are associated with absolute radiometric dates (using U-series geochronology). For the purpose of this study, all of the U-series ages have been recalculated to normalize them with the same set of decay constants for $^{234}$U and $^{230}$Th (?, Tables S3 and S4), using the methodology described by ?. The array of data from Huon Peninsula suggest post-depositional alteration (open-system behaviour of the U-series isotopes) that complicates a precise age interpretation (Fig. 7).

The Red Sea time series published by ? depicts that, after a RSL low stand of about -100 m relative to present between 145 and 141 ka, a brief pulse of at least $\sim$25 m sea-level rise, based on the smoothed record (or up to $\sim$50 m based on the unsmoothed time series), occurred between $\sim$141 and 138 ka (identified as MWP-2A in ?, Fig. 7a). This pulse was followed by a slight sea level fall ($\sim$10 m in the smoothed record) between $\sim$139 and 138 ka. Finally, a more significant pulse of $\sim$70 m in RSL rise (MWP-2B) is inferred between 135 and 130 ka. The period between the ephemeral pulse of sea-level rise at the beginning of the PDG (MWP-2A) and the second prolonged pulse (MWP-2B/HS11), has sometimes been referred to as the PDG sea-level reversal (?).

The coral RSL data from Huon Peninsula and Tahiti independently provide additional evidence for an ephemeral reversal in sea level rise occurring during the penultimate deglaciation (Fig. 7). In the case of Tahiti, sedimentary evidence for the superposition of shallow and deeper water facies led to the interpretation that there was an ephemeral deepening (sea-level rise) followed by a return to shallower water conditions (sea-level fall or stabilization) (?). The Tahiti data provide bounding ages on the timing of this sea level rise pulse, with ages of corals that grew at 135.0 ka (in 0-6 m water depth) and 133.5 (±1) ka (0-25 m water depth). In between these shallower facies, there is a deeper water facies ($\geq$20 m paleowater depth), but there are no reliable ages within this interval of the core (?). This observation, based on changes in both the lithofacies and benthic foraminiferal assemblage, is interpreted as a pulse of sea-level rise in between about 135.0 and 133.5 ka (?). A similar sea level oscillation has also been interpreted based on the stratigraphy as well as the age and paleowater depth reconstruction at Huon Peninsula (?). The absolute timing of coral growth is only loosely constrained at this site due to open-system behaviour of the U-series isotopes (as reflected by the scatter in ages of corals collected in Aladdin’s cave, $\sim$134 to 126 ka, Fig. 7) (?). Indeed, the corals from Terrace VII have ages (with high uncertainty) ranging from about 137 to 134.5 ka and the corals from the cave have a wide range of ages, from 134.1 to 125.9 ka (more details in ?). Given that the younger end of this age range is clearly within the MIS 5e sea-level hightstand (e.g. ?), it is more likely that the older end of this diagenetic array of data from Aladdin’s cave is a better approximation for a the primary age (i.e. it is closer to the unaltered end member). Despite these diagenetic concerns, the agreement in the timing of this PDG sea level reversal (MWP-2A) in Tahiti and Huon Peninsula is striking (Fig. 7b).

When considering the 95% probabilistic intervals of the Red Sea RSL reconstruction on the chronology from ?, an overlap is observed with the coral data over the MWP-2A interval, within the stated uncertainties. Still, both coral dataset suggest that MWP-2A occurs several millennia later (i.e. $\sim$135-134 ka) than in the Red Sea RSL reconstruction. This mismatch is likely to be related to the difficulty to precisely anchor the dating of the current Red Sea RSL age scale over this interval (as also discussed in the supplementary information of ?). Hence, we propose a revised chronology for the Red Sea RSL record in order to provide a better agreement with the absolutely-dated corals. Given the potential ambiguities of the tie point defined
to stretch the depth scale across this interval, we find it reasonable to adjust it such that the timing of MWP-2A is more consistent with the absolute ages provided by the Tahiti and Huon Peninsula coral data.

We note that reassigning the tie points across this interval (Table S5), where tie points are placed at the beginning and end of MWP-2A (as defined by the coral data), results in a sea-level reconstruction that more closely approximates a linear age-depth model (Fig. 7a). This revised age model for the Red Sea RSL is adopted as our preferred reconstruction for sea-level change during the PDG. This reconstruction also compresses the total duration of the sea-level rise during the entirety of the PDG transition, which has implications for the freshwater forcing in the NH and for making analogies between the last and penultimate deglaciations.

This revised chronology is still attached to large uncertainties given the limits of the datasets. Also, considerable uncertainties remain with the magnitude of the sea-level pulse during MWP-2A because some of the corals cover a wide range of paleowater depth (0 to 6 m for the pre-MWP-2A Tahiti corals, ≥20 meters for the Tahiti corals during MWP-2A, 0-25 m for the post-MWP-2A Tahiti corals and 0 to 20 m for the Aladdin’s Cave corals). Despite these uncertainties in the absolute position of sea level, the relative sea-level changes for each site clearly demonstrate an ephemeral deepening during meltwater pulse MWP-2A in both cases.

Glacial isostatic adjustment to the deterioration of the MIS 6 PGM ice-sheets will also differentially affect Tahiti and Huon Peninsula, which precludes a direct comparison of the magnitude of sea-level change between these sites or a direct interpretation of global mean sea-level change in the absence of modelling. Because the changes in global mean sea level are rapid across the penultimate deglaciation, the eustatic signal is likely dominant, leading to a timing of the rapid changes that is similar between local RSL and global mean sea-level reconstructions. Still, the rate of change may be different between sites due to local differences in the magnitude of sea-level change. Based on the revised chronology for the Red Sea RSL and on the coral constraints, MWP-2A starts at ∼137 ka, while MWP-2B starts at ∼133 ka (Fig. 7, Table 4).

Finally, far-field coral data from the Seychelles and Western Australia, that have been corrected for the glacial isostatic adjustment (e.g. ?), indicate that global mean sea level passed the position of modern sea level at about 129 ka (Fig. 7). The evolution of sea level during the LIG high-stand is still debated and may have included some meter-scale sea-level oscillations, but by at least some accounts, it is thought to have risen a few meters between 129 and 122 ka (e.g. ??). So while the timing of peak sea level may have occurred later in the interglacial (∼122 ka), the onset of the highstand (∼129 ka) could represent an inflexion point in the rate of sea-level change coming out of the rapid deglaciation and into the interglacial.

Overall, eustatic sea-level reconstructions based on paleodata and continental ice-sheets simulations (Section 4) are consistent. However, the amplitude of the eustatic sea level change across the PDG estimated from the Red Sea reconstructions is ∼10 m smaller than the combined ice-sheets simulations (Fig. 4e). In addition, the sea-level data suggest a small sea-level increase at ∼140 ka, which is not present in the ice-sheet simulations. Both suggest that the main phase of sea-level rise/continental ice-sheets disintegration initiates at ∼134 ka, even if the overall magnitude is larger in the ice-sheet simulation, but with a lower rate of change than in the Red Sea reconstructions. However, it is worth keeping in mind that both the sea level data and ice-sheet model-based approaches are associated with large uncertainties regarding the exact timing and amplitude of global sea-level changes across the PDG.
6 Recommendations Protocol for transient simulations of the PDG

To maximise the use of the transient simulations, it is recommended to perform the transient simulations of the penultimate and last deglaciations, as well as the piControl should be performed with the same version of the climate model.

6.1 Equilibrium spin-up at 140 ka (PDGv1-PGMspin)

If a LGM run is already available, then it is suggested to initialise the 140 ka spin-up from the climate fields and ocean state produced by the LGM equilibrium run. Starting from a LGM state may minimize the duration of the spin-up, as it should shorten the time to reach equilibrium in the deep ocean (?). If starting from a pre-industrial set up, it is suggested to follow the PMIP4 LGM protocol (?) to set up the 140 ka state, but using the 140 ka boundary conditions described below instead of the respective 21 ka boundary conditions.

The model should be forced with 140 ka background conditions (Table 1), including appropriate orbital parameters, GHG concentrations as averaged over the interval 141-139 ka (191 ppm CO₂, 385 ppb CH₄, and 201 ppb N₂O), as well as the NH and Antarctic ice-sheets’ extent, topography and associated albedo (as described above). The forcing file describing the evolution of NH and Antarctic ice-sheets, as simulated by ice-sheets models (??) (Section 4), is available in the Design and Data sections of the PMIP4 wiki. They include the evolution of the ice-mask, as well as surface and bedrock elevations. They provide guidelines for computing land-ice fraction and orography from the ice-sheet reconstruction datasets. The details of these forcings and the approach taken to compute them will ultimately depend on each model resolution.

The large glacial ice-sheets of MIS 6 the PGM impacted sea level and the land-sea mask. It would be best to modify the land-sea mask resulting from ice-sheet changes. Depending on the resolution of the model, this might not be a crucial parameter, except for some bathymetry and land-sea mask features, which have particular importance for ocean circulation. For example, the Bering Strait, which is 40 to 50 m deep, exerts a significant control on NADW and North Pacific Intermediate Water formation (e.g ??). We therefore recommend to close the Bering Strait during During the 140 ka spin-up, the Bering Strait should be closed. Following the recommendations for the PMIP4 LGM equilibrium run (?), the land-sea mask should include the exposure of the Sahul and Sunda shelves in the Indo-Australian region, as well as closure of the strait between the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea.

To account for the maximum MIS 6 PGM ice-sheet expansions, and associated global sea-level of ~100 m below pre-industrial times, global salinity should be set at +0.85 psu above pre-industrial level. Furthermore, if oxygen isotopes are included in the simulations, the ocean mean δ¹⁸O should be initialized at 1‰ and if a carbon cycle model is included, the global mean alkalinity content should be increased by about 80 µmol/L. Compared to the LGM state, these values are 0.15 psu lower for global salinity, 0.2‰ lower for mean ocean δ¹⁸O and about 16 µmol/L lower for global alkalinity.

The model should be spun-up until near equilibrium is reached. Previous PMIP protocols recommend that the simulations are considered at equilibrium when the trend in globally averaged SST is less than 0.05°C per century and the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) is stable. ? recently pointed out that the AMOC should be monitored on a centennial timescale to properly assess equilibrium. ? further suggested that the trend in zonal-mean salinity in the Southern Ocean (south
of the winter sea-ice edge) should remain small (less than 0.005 psu per 100 years), especially in the Atlantic sector, to avoid potential transient characteristics in the deep ocean from impacting on AMOC strength. For models including representations of the carbon cycle or dynamic vegetation, the requirement is that the carbon uptake or release by the biosphere is less than 0.01 PgC per year. Similar to the recommendation made in ?, the outputs of at least 100 years of the equilibrated 140 ka spin-up should be made available and fully described.

6.2 Transient forcings across the PDG (PDGv1)

The main changes in boundary conditions across the deglaciation, i.e. insolation, GHG concentrations and continental ice-sheets, have been described in sections 2, 3 and 4, respectively and are summarised in Table 1. For all simulations, methods should be fully documented.

6.2.1 Orography, bathymetry, coastlines and rivers

Disintegration of continental ice-sheets during the deglaciation affected continental topography and ocean bathymetry, and thus coastal outlines and river routing. Therefore, time-varying changes in land-ice fraction, land-sea fraction, and topography should be applied. Variations in the ice mask and topography should be updated at the same time. It is up to each group to decide the appropriate time frequency at which to update this forcing. The resolution of the files provided is 500 years, but higher frequency changes obtained through linear interpolations can also be performed to avoid step changes. As mentioned for the 140 ka spin-up regarding changes in land-sea fraction, particular attention should be given to the opening of the Bering Strait and the flooding of the Sunda and Sahul shelves. When possible, these should be varied across the PDG as ice-sheets disintegrate and sea-level rises. Following the combined ice-sheet history presented here, which includes some GIA adjustment, the Bering Strait might open at ∼127.5 ka. Please note that this is a first estimate, which is associated with large uncertainties.

As changes in the land-sea mask could impact water delivery to the ocean through rivers, it is recommended to check that river mouths are consistent with the adjusted land-sea mask (?). If possible and of interest, river networks could also be remapped to take into account the ice-sheet changes.

6.2.2 Vegetation, land surface and other forcings

The climatic and ice-sheet changes occurring between 140 and 127 ka will significantly impact the vegetation, and thus also land albedo, evapo-transpiration and the terrestrial carbon pool. The preferred option would thus be to include a dynamical vegetation model, fully coupled to the atmospheric model. Care should be taken in regions where an ice-sheet is present, as the ice-sheet and its albedo should replace any possible vegetation. If a coupled dynamical vegetation model is not available, then the experiments should be run with prescribed land-surface parameters and with fixed vegetation types and plant physiology outside of the regions covered by a continental ice-sheet, as obtained from the CMIP5 pre-industrial set up (??). In that case, care should be taken to have a consistent land-surface/vegetation forcing with the adjusted land-sea mask. Vegetation/land-
surface type on a newly emerged land (for example the Sunda shelf) will thus need to be fixed based on interpolations with the nearest grid points.

### 6.2.3 Freshwater forcing

Through their impact on global salinity and ocean circulation, disintegrating ice-sheets can significantly affect the climatic and biogeochemical evolution of the penultimate deglaciation. In particular, meltwater input in the North Atlantic region could be a significant driver of changes in NADW formation, including the ones associated with HS11 (further discussed in Section 8.2). It is thus strongly recommended to include a carefully designed freshwater scenario when performing transient simulations of the deglaciation.

As much as possible, and for all scenarios, meltwater should be added in the appropriate locations to match the evolution of the ice-sheets. Freshwater can be added over an appropriate ocean area close to the disintegrating ice-sheet, or a self-consistent paleo surface drainage forcing could also be implemented. This would involve using the provided downslope routing fields to route the water flux \( f_{wf}, \text{cm/yr} \) from each grid cell:

\[
 f_{wf} = (P - E)_{GCM} - (\frac{dH}{dt})_{\text{ice-sheet}} \times 0.91,
\]

with \( P \) for precipitation (cm/yr), \( E \) for evaporation (cm/yr) and \( (\frac{dH}{dt})_{\text{ice-sheet}} \) (cm/yr, assuming an ice density of 0.91 g/cm\(^3\)) the change in ice-sheet thickness over time as described in the ice-sheet forcing files. Modellers may need to adjust the above to account for land surface model changes in water storage. In case of negative meltwater forcing, the artificial salt flux addition should be spread globally over the ocean.

Estimates of sea-level changes across the PDG suggest a global sea-level rise of \( \sim 100 \text{m} \) between 140 and 130 ka, due to the disintegration of NH and Antarctic ice-sheets. As the Antarctic contribution to sea level is estimated at \( \sim 13 \text{m} \) (Fig. 4), this leaves a \( \sim 87 \text{m} \) contribution from NH ice-sheets.

For the NH, three meltwater scenarios are proposed based on changes in NH ice-sheets, as described in section 4 (Fig. 4f, black, fIC) on global mean sea-level changes with our revised chronology (see section 5, Fig. 4f, blue, fSL) minus a linear Antarctic contribution of 13 m (Fig. 4d) as derived from North Atlantic and Norwegian Sea IRD records (see also section 8.2, Fig. 4f, red, fIRD).

Estimates of meltwater input to the North Atlantic based on changes in NH ice-sheets (Fig. 4b,e,f, black, fIC) suggest a sustained \((\geq 0.1 \text{ Sv})\) meltwater flux between \(\sim 133\) and \(127 \text{ ka}\). This forcing closely follows changes in high northern latitude summer insolation and would probably lead to a significant NADW weakening during HS11, lasting until about 125.5 ka depending on the model’s sensitivity.

Meltwater input estimates derived from Red Sea sea-level records (Fig. 4f, blue, fSL) on the revised chronology (section 5) display a large \((\text{up to } 0.3 \text{ Sv})\) 1000-year-long meltwater pulse centred at \(137 \text{ ka}\), a broad meltwater input between 134 and 131 ka with a large peak at 131.7 ka, and two relatively late meltwater pulses centred at 130 ka and 128.3 ka, respectively. The magnitude and length of the AMOC perturbation resulting from such a meltwater scenario will, of course, depend on each model’s sensitivity and on the initial AMOC state. However, for most models, it is expected that NADW formation would
weaken significantly between \( \sim 137.8 \) and \( 136.5 \) ka as well as between \( \sim 133.5 \) and \( 129 \) ka. Another small AMOC perturbation is expected between \( \sim 129 \) and \( 127.8 \) ka in this scenario.

There are significant uncertainties associated with both the simulation of NH ice-sheets and the timing and amplitude of sea-level changes. In addition, to fully explore the potential of transient deglacial simulations, it is critical to simulate NADW changes in global agreement with proxy records. Since periods of increased IRD delivery have been associated with changes in NADW (e.g. \( \sim \)), we design an additional meltwater scenario, for which the timing is based on North Atlantic and Norwegian Sea IRD records (Fig. 8a). To construct the fIRD scenario, the IRD record of MD95-2010 (?) is assumed to represent freshwater input only originating from the Eurasian ice-sheet for the period 140 to 133.8 ka, while the stack of IRD records presented in Figure 8b (black) is assumed to represent freshwater originating from both the North American and Eurasian ice-sheets for the period 133.7 to 127 ka. The normalized MD95-2010 IRD record and the IRD stack were thus scaled so as to obtain a total NH sea-level contribution of 87 m (Fig. 4e, red), with 35 m originating from the Eurasian ice-sheet and 51 m from the North American one. It is expected this scenario will lead to a weakening of NADW between 139.5 and 136.5 ka as well as at \( \sim 135 \) ka. The sustained meltwater pulse might induce NADW cessation between \( \sim 133.5 \) and 129.4 ka, followed by a recovery sometime between 129 and 128 ka.

As can be seen in Figure 4f, the meltwater forcing scenarios based on sea-level changes (fSL) and the IRD record (fIRD) share some similarities. The main differences between these scenarios are the small meltwater pulse at \( \sim 137 \) ka in fSL, which is of much reduced amplitude in fIRD, and the \( \sim 128 \) ka pulse in fSL not present in fIRD. Finally, the fSL scenario includes periods of significant negative meltwater forcing (i.e. artificial salt flux addition), corresponding to phases of sea-level lowering. As described above, it is suggested to use the self-consistent drainage scheme for the location of the meltwater input. For NH scenarios fSL and fIRD, \( (dH/dt)_{\text{ice-sheet}} \) (from equation 1) would need to be scaled to obtain the appropriate meltwater flux.

For those who wish to take part in an inter-comparison involving comparable boundary conditions, the fSL scenario is put forward as the recommended option (Table 1). Depending on the sensitivity of the model to freshwater input, fIRD scenario can provide a good alternative to fSL. However, the ultimate choice of the appropriate freshwater scenario is left to each group, and sensitivity experiments to assess the climatic impact of different meltwater scenarios are encouraged (section 7).

To take into account the effect of Antarctic ice-sheet melting, freshwater should also be added close to the Antarctic coast, following the self-consistent routing scheme described above (Fig. 4d) (?). This scenario will broadly consist in adding \( \sim 0.0135 \) Sv meltwater from 140 to 130 ka. However, there are significant uncertainties associated with the timing of the Antarctic deglaciation. Additional experiments are necessary to further constrain the impact of the Antarctic ice-sheet disintegration on the deglacial climate and carbon cycle (e.g. ?). Therefore, another scenario inspired by the Antarctic ice-sheet deglacial trajectory described in ? is proposed (Table 2, fSL2).

Finally, if preferred and instead of the Northern and Southern Hemisphere freshwater scenarios described here, a globally uniform freshwater flux that corresponds to the ice-sheets evolution can be added to simply conserve salinity throughout the transient deglacial experiment (Table 2, fUN). This latter option is equivalent to the melt-uniform scenario used in the PMIP4 transient simulations of the last deglaciation (?).
6.3 Requested variables

A transient simulation of the PDG implies that the climate model will need to be integrated for 13,000 years, thus generating a large amount of data. Depending on the spatial resolution of the different model components, storage of data outputs can become an issue. We therefore suggest a list of variables that absolutely needs to be uploaded to the ESGF database (*) to understand the results and perform inter-model and model-data comparisons. The CMIP6 conventions should be used for all the variable names and units. Table 3 presents a list of forcings, and variables related to the atmosphere, ocean, vegetation and ocean biogeochemistry realms (if relevant) to be saved either as annual or monthly means. In addition to this list standard global, hemispheric or zonally averaged variables would need to be included, such as northern and southern sea-ice extent and surface air temperature, maximum meridional overturning streamfunction in the North Atlantic, top of the atmosphere (TOA) energy budget, surface energy budget, carbon budget (if relevant), etc... The list detailed in Table 3 represents the strict minimum of variables to be distributed. It is expected that additional variables will be included by each group, particularly if other model components are included (e.g. isotopes).

7 Sensitivity experiments

The penultimate deglaciation is a particularly interesting period as it provides a framework to study the impact of changes in insolation, atmospheric GHG concentrations and continental ice-sheets on climate. In addition, meltwater input associated with the disintegration of continental ice-sheets will also impact the oceanic circulation and thus global climate and biogeochemistry (e.g. ???????). Ultimately, transient deglacial simulations will inform on the impact of each of these processes as well as their interactions.

However, the transient simulation of the PDG proposed here might present a challenge to state-of-the-art Earth-system models, as they will need to include a spin-up with 140-ka boundary conditions and be run for 13,000 years. For this reason (i.e. to avoid the necessity for additional simulations when the computational expense is prohibitive), the main experiment includes all appropriate boundary forcing as well as meltwater input due to disintegrating ice-sheets. This experiment will provide valuable information on processes occurring during the PDG and will allow for a thorough comparison of the penultimate and the last deglaciation by complementing existing transient simulations of TI (?????) and the new experiments performed as part of PMIP4 (?). These transient simulations of the PDG will also complement existing transient simulations of the PDG performed with the LOVECLIM Earth-system model and covering the time interval 135 to 115 ka (??). Finally, the proposed experiment will provide a link to the PMIP4 transient simulation of the LIG (127 to 121 ka) as well as the PMIP4 127 ka timeslice experiment (lig127k (?)), even though the protocol of the LIG experiments includes pre-industrial continental ice-sheets.

The main experiment is described in Table 1 and includes a comprehensive meltwater scenario. Additional transient simulations of the PDG are encouraged to, for example, assess different timing and amplitude of meltwater-input (Table 2), but also simulations with globally uniform meltwater input (fUN). As there are large uncertainties associated with meltwater input scenarios and the sensitivity of deep convection to the freshwater input, it is strongly advised to perform both the main exper-
iment and fUN to isolate the impact of the freshwater input (?). In addition, the response to individual forcings (i.e. orbital parameters, GHGs and ice-sheet extent and albedo) could be assessed separately (??).

Even though there are some geological constraints on glacial evolution (see Section 4.1.), there remain large uncertainties associated with the reconstruction of continental ice-sheets during MIS 6 the PGM, across the PDG and during MIS 5e the LIG. In addition, there are significant uncertainties associated with the parametrization of dynamical processes governing continental ice-sheets, and most importantly those representing the climate forcing. Through their impact on albedo and topography, continental ice-sheets can significantly influence climate (e.g. ??), even when the ice-sheets are small (e.g. ????). Therefore, the impact of ice-sheet extent and topography on MIS 6 the PGM, across the PDG and during MIS 5e the LIG, should be studied in detail through sensitivity experiments.

Models that include prognostic aerosol and/or dust could provide very useful fields to the community. Similarly, evaluating the impact of varying deglacial dust levels on climate and biogeochemistry are of crucial importance (??). Therefore, sensitivity simulations forced with different dust-flux scenarios are encouraged.

8 Comparing model simulations to paleoclimate and paleoenvironmental reconstructions

It is central to PMIP to evaluate the realism of the coordinated transient simulations and the associated sensitivity experiments with environmental and climate reconstructions from archives. In the following, we first report on the few existing surface temperature syntheses that cover at least part of our interval of interest. We then provide a non-exhaustive selection of additional paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental records extending back to 140 ka. This will allow a first-order comparison between the changes recorded in different parts of the climate system and those inferred in the transient simulations (Figs. 8 and 9, Tables 4 and 5). The selection criteria are related to each record’s temporal resolution and to the climatic or environmental interpretation that can be inferred from the measured tracer. We put a special emphasis on selecting marine sediment core records that can inform on millennial-scale changes occurring in the North Atlantic sector during HS11, potentially linked with changes in NADW formation. This section ends with a review of the main limitations that will be associated with the model-data comparison and recommendations.

8.1 Available surface temperature syntheses

Quantitative comparisons between paleo-reconstructions and model outputs across the time interval 140-127 ka are possible, but remain limited to a few parameters (e.g. surface-air and sea-surface temperature (SAT and SST)). Qualitative and indirect comparisons are also adequate to evaluate simulations: for example, simulated AMOC strength against paleo-records (section 8.2), simulated precipitation compared to Chinese speleothem calcite $\delta^{18}$O records or the simulated vegetation patterns against pollen-based vegetation reconstructions (section 8.3, Table 5).

There are currently no paleoclimate data compilations focusing on the penultimate glacial maximum (MIS 6) PGM or covering the full length of the PDG, but syntheses of quantitative and temporal surface temperature changes focusing on the LIG are available for model evaluation of the second part of the penultimate deglaciation. Indeed, the synthesis by covers the
time interval 135-110 ka and compiles, in a coherent temporal framework underpinned by the AICC2012 ice-core chronology, annual SAT above Greenland and Antarctica and summer SST records from the North Atlantic and the Southern Ocean located at latitudes above 40°. In ?, updated surface air temperature reconstructions displayed on AICC2012 are presented for the EDC, Dome F and Vostok deep ice cores. They represent useful constraints, particularly to investigate spatial differences in temperature between East Antarctica inland ice core sites during the PDG.

Further, based on harmonized chronologies between marine records, ? propose a global annual SST compilation with time-series encompassing 130 to 115 ka. ? use the SpeleoAge age scale as a reference chronology. This SpeleoAge age scale results from the adjustment of the EDC3 ice-core timescale using radiometric dates from Chinese speleothems (?) and presents age differences across the PDG with AICC2012 (e.g. ~1.4 ka at 127 ka, ~1.0 ka at 136 ka). Both syntheses are useful to perform site-to-site model-data comparisons in order to provide detailed information about the spatial structure of the changes. The regional stacks produced by ? provide a first order estimate of the mean annual SST responses between 130 and 127 ka.

8.2 North Atlantic records to inform on Heinrich stadial 11 and NADW changes

Deglaciation of NH ice-sheets led to increased meltwater input into the North Atlantic, thus reducing surface water density and potentially weakening NADW formation. It has been shown that changes in NADW have a significant impact on North Atlantic and European climate (e.g. ??), but also have a strong imprint on tropical hydrology (e.g. ????). Through oceanic and atmospheric teleconnections, NADW variations can further modulate the strength of the Asian Monsoon (e.g. ?) and the formation of other oceanic water masses, such as North Pacific Intermediate Water (?). Using a multi-proxy approach, we investigate here the millennial-scale variability occurring during the PDG, and particularly potential changes in NADW linked with the meltwater input of HS11.

We selected 11 marine sediment cores from the Western Mediterranean Sea, the North Atlantic and the Nordic Seas with relatively high-resolution proxy data across the PDG (Tables 4 and 5, Fig. 8). These sites have undergone multi-proxy investigations (e.g. stable isotopes on benthic and planktonic foraminifera, foraminifera faunal assemblages, IRD counts, SST reconstructions, Pa/Th, \( \epsilon_{Nd} \)). The chronology of all the sites has been revised here using the radiometrically-dated time scale of the Italian Corchia cave (original record in ?, see also ? for the high-resolution calcite \( \delta^{18}O \) profile) as a reference for the PDG (Text S1 and S2, Table S1).

Phases of meltwater input, and/or changes in NADW can be investigated using different proxies. The intensity of NADW formation can be deduced from variations in Pa/Th (a kinematic proxy for the rate of deep water export from the North Atlantic) and \( \epsilon_{Nd} \) (a water provenance tracer) in North Atlantic sediment cores (??), but only one record (ODP 1063) currently covers the PDG and the time-resolution of Pa/Th and \( \epsilon_{Nd} \) measurements across the PDG is relatively low (??). Phases of iceberg discharges, which might significantly impact NADW formation, can be inferred from the amount of IRD in North Atlantic cores. For this time period, IRD records with sufficient resolution are available from six Atlantic cores (CH69-K09, ODP980, ODP983, ODP984, SU90-03 and ODP1063) and one Norwegian Sea core (MD95-2010). The IRD records were first normalized, after which an IRD stack was made for the North Atlantic cores by interpolating them onto a common age-scale with a regular (100-year) time-step.
Because continental ice-sheets are $^{18}\text{O}$-depleted, the meltwater supply also induces a drop in seawater $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}$), particularly at the ocean’s surface. For six of the sites, changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}$ have been reconstructed from paired SST and planktic $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{c}}$ records using the following paleo-temperature equation (\(?)\):

$$T_{\text{iso}} = 16.9 - 4.38 \times (\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{c}} + 0.27 - \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}) + 0.10 \times (\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{c}} + 0.27 - \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}})^{2}$$

(2)

with $T_{\text{iso}}$ the isotopic or calcification temperature (°C) that is deduced from SST reconstructions; $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{c}}$, the isotopic composition of the calcite (‰, PDB); $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}$, the isotopic composition of seawater (‰, SMOW). The factor 0.27 is added for calibration against international standards (SMOW vs. VPDB) (\(?)\).

As a weakened NADW transport leads to the accumulation of remineralized carbon below 2500 m in the North Atlantic (\(?)\), changes in oceanic circulation are also inferred from benthic foraminifera $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, a tracer for the ventilation of deep-water masses (\(????)\). Finally, since a NADW weakening reduces the meridional heat transport to the North Atlantic (\(?)\), it is generally accompanied by a sea surface cooling across this region (\(?)\).

The Norwegian Sea core MD95-2010 (\(?)\) indicates an increased IRD content starting at 139.5 ka (Fig. 8b). This could suggest an initiation of the penultimate deglaciation by enhanced iceberg calving (and subsequent melting) from the Fennoscandian ice-sheet. However, this has little to no effect in the North Atlantic. Only in the western side of the North Atlantic, right in the meanders of the Gulf Stream, does core CH69-K09 display a surface cooling and a drop in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}$ starting at ~137.5 ka (\(?)\).

A more significant deglacial pulse, indicated by an IRD increase in both the Nordic Seas and the North Atlantic, as well as a surface freshening in all North Atlantic cores occurs at ~136.4-133.9 ka (Fig. 8g). This meltwater input, which could correspond to the first phase of HS11, could have led to a significant weakening of NADW, as indicated by $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and Pa/Th records from the Bermuda rise (\(?)\) (not shown), as well as by the accompanying surface cooling in the North Atlantic (\(????)\) and a decrease in benthic $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ below 2000 m depth (\(????)\). The low-resolution $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and Pa/Th records from the Bermuda rise (\(?)\) also indicate a weakening of NADW transport during this period (not shown). This deglacial phase identified in North Atlantic marine sediment cores is broadly coincident with MWP-2A (Fig. 7, section 5).

\(?)\ identified a double-u structure in SST records from the western Mediterranean Sea (ODP976) and the Portuguese margin (MD95-2042, MD01-2444) during the PDG, with a short-duration warming centred at ~133.6 ka. This warming is also seen in other North Atlantic records, such as ODP 984, 983, 980 and 1063 (Fig. 8 c-f). Very interestingly, and within dating uncertainties, this event corresponds to a small increase in atmospheric CH$_4$ (Figs. 1 and 2) (\(?)\), and broadly corresponds to a pause in the sea-level rise. In parallel, Pa/Th in core ODP1063 displays a significant decrease at this time (not shown), and benthic $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ increases in the deepest North Atlantic cores (Fig. 8). This warming could thus be due to a short lived reinvigoration of NADW formation.

In agreement with previous studies (e.g. \(?)\), the main phase of HS11 occurs between ~133.3 and 130.4 ka and is characterised by a large IRD peak, cold surface-water conditions, a minimum in seawater $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, and low benthic $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in most sites of the North Atlantic (Fig. 8). Elevated rates of iceberg calving and melting of NH ice-sheets thus lead to a large meltwater pulse in the North Atlantic, a significant global sea level rise (MWP2-B) (\(?)\), NADW weakening (\(?)\) and a surface cooling that is at least regional.
The resumption of NADW formation at the end of HS11 marks the end of the penultimate deglaciation (~130.4-128.5 ka). It is characterised by an increase in SST, seawater δ¹⁸O and benthic δ¹³C from the North Atlantic region (Fig. 8). The associated atmospheric warming in the North Atlantic region also leads to terrestrial regrowth and thus a sharp increase in atmospheric CH₄ occurring between ~129 and 128.5 ka.

8.3 Other environmental and climate reconstructions

Figure 9 shows a non-exhaustive selection of other environmental and climate proxy records. Tables 4 and 5 further provide the climate or environmental interpretation for each selected tracer to aid the model-data comparison, including the tracers described in section 8.2. Only a few speleothem calcite δ¹⁸O (δ¹⁸Oc) records and their environmental or climatic interpretation are presented here. However, it can be complemented with the compilation of speleothem δ¹⁸Oc records covering the time interval 140 to 110 ka presented by ? . It is also important to recognise that the dominant drivers of speleothem δ¹⁸Oc may change over time and differ from one cave site to another (see Section 8.4). Therefore we strongly advise a critical assessment of these interpretations based on the most recent developments and advances in stable-isotope hydrology and the original publications.

Tables 4 and 5 also detail the timing of the major changes (and associated uncertainties) as recorded in each timeseries. These major changes are identified using the RAMPFIT or BREAKFIT software programs (??). Age uncertainties (1σ) reported in Tables 4 and 5 include i) the “internal” error of the event given by RAMPFIT or BREAKFIT, ii) the relative error related to the climatic alignment method for marine sediment and Ioannina records and iii) the absolute dating error of the reference time scale. The selected ice- and sediment-based records are all displayed on AICC2012 or on a timescale coherent to this ice-core age scale, while terrestrial records are displayed on their own independent chronology. Among these paleoclimatic time series, several isotopic records are presented here and we strongly encourage transient simulations to be performed with oxygen and/or carbon isotope-enabled models to allow direct quantitative comparison between simulated and measured isotopic time series.

Little change occurs until the beginning of phase 1 at ~136.4 ka, after which a cooling phase is identified in a few records of the North Atlantic (Fig. 8 and Fig. 9a, major change [A]). This also corresponds to reduced monsoon activity as recorded in Chinese speleothems (Fig. 9d [M]), and the initiation of the Antarctic warming (Fig. 9h [W]). The short-lived warming event in the North Atlantic associated with phase 2 (Fig. 9a [B]) is also identified in the Chinese speleothems as a slightly wetter interval (Fig. 9d [N]). Other environmental records might not have the necessary resolution to record this multi-centennial-scale event. The main phase of HS11, corresponding to phase 3, is associated with meltwater input and cold conditions in the North Atlantic (Fig. 9a [C] and 9c [I]), dry conditions over Europe (Fig. 9b) and Asia (Fig. 9d, interval between [O] and [P]), and warmer conditions at high southern latitudes (Fig. 9f, h). The end of HS11 (phase 4) associated with a pause in the meltwater input (Fig. 9c [J]) and progressively warmer conditions in the North Atlantic and southern Europe (Fig. 9a [D, F] and 9c [K]) corresponds to a strengthening of the Asian monsoon (Fig. 9d [P] and 9e [Q]), and maximum warmth at high southern latitudes (Fig. 9f [R, S] and 9h [X]). Interglacial conditions in atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ as well as North Atlantic temperatures and ventilation are attained at about 128.5 ka (Fig. 8), which is also associated with warm and wet conditions in southern Europe (Fig. 9b [G], and 9c [L]).
8.4 Limitations and recommendations

One important consideration to account for when comparing simulated variables against paleodata between 140 and 127 ka, is the large uncertainties associated with both absolute and relative chronologies of most paleoclimatic records during this time interval. Dating uncertainties range from a few centuries to up to several thousand years depending on the type of archive and dating methods (\textsuperscript{5}). For instance, the average absolute dating error attached to the Corchia Cave $\delta^{18}$O record is $\sim$0.7 ka (2$\sigma$) (\textsuperscript{5}). For marine sediment chronologies, which are mainly based on record alignment strategies (e.g. a record on a depth scale is aligned onto a dated reference record), the age uncertainties encompass a relative dating error ("alignment error") in addition to the absolute error attached to the chronology of the dated reference record. As a result, the overall 2$\sigma$ age error associated with North Atlantic sediment core ODP976 aligned onto the Corchia record is 1.6 ka on average (Table S2). Between 140 and 127 ka, the average absolute error attached to the AICC2012 chronology used to display ice and gas records from the EDC ice core is about 4 ka (2$\sigma$) (\textsuperscript{5}). This large AICC2012 dating uncertainty is thus attached to the GHG concentration records used to force the transient simulations (Section 3). It will therefore taint the relative timing of the changes in orbital and atmospheric CO$_2$ forcing that will largely drive the simulated evolution of climate and environmental changes across the PDG. The relative timing of those changes will also be affected by uncertainties attached to the temporal evolution of the ice-sheets and related meltwater forcing scenarios. However, uncertainties attached to the relative timing of changes between GHG forcing and the simulated changes in Antarctica and the Atlantic Ocean basin are somewhat reduced since the ice- and sediment-based records are also displayed on AICC2012 timescale or on time scales coherent to the reference ice-core age scale (Section 8.2).

Limitations are also attached to the potential misinterpretation of climate and environmental proxies due to incomplete understanding of how some of those archives record climatic and environmental change. First, SST records highlighted here have been reconstructed using various microfossil and geochemical methods. Although the use of various tracers is known to yield SST discrepancies in particular above 35$^\circ$N (\textsuperscript{5}), the extent to which these different SST reconstruction methods influence the representation of temporal climatic changes across our studied time interval is poorly known. Additional difficulties arise from the individual methods commonly used to reconstruct past SST due to, for example, the poor understanding of the modern habitat (e.g. living season and water-depth) of microfossil species (e.g. foraminifera) (\textsuperscript{5}) and alkenone producers (e.g. \textsuperscript{5}). With these limitations acknowledged, model-data comparisons should use annual or appropriate seasonal climatic variables depending on the interpretation of the measured climate proxy proposed in the original or subsequent publications.

Regarding SAT reconstructions over Antarctica, the impact of changes in seasonality of snow precipitation on the reconstruction remains difficult to quantify based on ice-core water-isotopic records (e.g. \textsuperscript{5}). In addition, \textsuperscript{5} suggested that the temperature at Dome C should be much higher than the one inferred from water isotopes and a constant temperature/$\delta^{18}$O slope during MIS 5e. For now, we suggest the use of simulated annual mean climatic variables for the comparison. However, it is crucial that the seasonality of paleo-records is better assessed to improve the interpretation of temperature reconstructions, and hence the model-data comparisons.

In addition, uncertainties remain regarding the dominant controlling factors (i.e. changes in temperature, rainfall amount and rain sources) of speleothem calcite isotopic records (e.g. \textsuperscript{5}). For instance, $\delta^{18}$Oc records throughout Asia are commonly inter-
interpreted as tracers of past changes in the intensity of the Asian monsoon. In particular, Chinese speleothem $\delta^{18}$Oc is classically interpreted as reflecting the East Asia monsoon (e.g. ??). However, a water-hosing experiment performed with an oxygen-isotopes-enabled model suggests instead that $\delta^{18}$Oc variations may reflect changes in the intensity of the Indian, rather than East Asian, monsoon precipitation during Heinrich events (??). Another recent model study also demonstrates that variations in ice core and speleothem oxygen isotope reconstructions cannot solely be attributed to climatic effects, but also reflect depleted $\delta^{18}$O of nearby oceans during glacial meltwater events (??). Overall, we strongly encourage the use of isotope-enabled models to allow for direct and quantitative model-data comparison of isotopic tracers.

Additional multi-centennial-scale paleoclimatic reconstructions are necessary in order to further constrain the millennial scale variability during the PDG. It is also crucial that comprehensive data compilation work is carried out over the entire studied time interval and covering, in particular, the penultimate glacial maximum (PGM) in order to test the robustness of the initial 140 ka spin-up climate. Modelling groups running transient deglacial simulations, and/or associated sensitivity experiments, are encouraged to use multiple paleorecords for a full diagnosis of the simulations.

9 Conclusions

Here, we present a protocol for performing transient simulations of the PDG spanning 140 to 127 ka. Changes in boundary conditions across the PDG that will serve as a forcing are presented and discussed. This includes changes in orbital parameters, GHG concentration, NH and Antarctic ice-sheets, and associated deglacial meltwater input. While not used as a direct forcing, changes in global sea-level are also presented on a new chronology. Finally, a series of key paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental records are suggested to perform model-data comparisons. The marine records were recovered from the North Atlantic and Southern Ocean, while the continental records were retrieved from Europe, China and Antarctica. Performing transient simulations with oxygen- and/or carbon-isotope-enabled Earth-system models could significantly improve model-data comparisons by providing a more direct and quantitative comparison with paleoproxies based on measured isotopic signatures (e.g. $\delta^{18}$O, $\delta^{13}$C).

Simulations of the penultimate deglaciation would allow a comparison with the last deglaciation, therefore highlighting similarities and differences between the last two deglaciations. The evolution of insolation across the two deglaciations is different, potentially explaining the relatively more rapid disintegration of NH ice-sheets during the PDG compared to the last deglaciation. Acting both as a response to and driver of changes, atmospheric CO$_2$ appears to increase much more gradually during the penultimate than the last deglaciation. Another striking difference between the penultimate and the last deglaciation is the lack of a Bølling-Allerød warming in the middle of the deglaciation, even though, as discussed in sections 5 and 8, several records show a brief reversal centred at about 133.6 ka. Transient simulations can thus shed light onto the different and interactive roles of radiative forcing (insolation, GHG concentrations) and ocean circulation changes (e.g. NADW and Southern Ocean ventilation) in driving climate change across the penultimate and the last deglaciations. Transient simulations performed with Earth-system models that include a dynamic vegetation and a global carbon cycle model, would be particularly useful in assessing the impact of climate change on vegetation cover and on marine ecosystems. The ultimate goal would be to
perform transient simulations of the penultimate deglaciation with Earth-system models that include interactive ice-sheets and carbon cycle components. But models might not be quite ready for such a task yet.

Transient simulations of the PDG and associated model/paleo-proxy comparisons provide a great opportunity to understand drivers and processes involved in one of the largest natural global warming period of the Quaternary. In addition, these transient simulations of the PDG will provide a bridge with the proposed PMIP4 transient simulations of the LIG (127 to 121 ka), and the 127-ka time-slice experiment (lig127k) (?). The PDG is a key period to understand as it led to the LIG, an interglacial displaying warmer conditions than pre-industrial (e.g. ?) as well as a global sea-level 6 to 9 m higher than today (e.g. ?).
**Data availability.** The combined ice-sheet and meltwater scenarios are available in the Supplement. The GHG data can be found at https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.871273. The combined ice-sheet, as well as the separate Northern Hemispheric and Greenland ice-sheets are also publicly available on the Research Data Australia repository at http://handle.unsw.edu.au/1959.4/resource/collection/resdatac_874/1 and doi to be added on June 21.

In addition, all the forcing files as well as the paleo-data described in the manuscript are available on the PMIP4 wiki: https://pmip4.lsce.ipsl.fr/doku.php/exp_design.
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Forcing  
140 ka spin-up  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Orbital parameters</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Eccentricity | 0.033 | from ?  
| Obliquity | 23.414° | from ?  
| Perihelion | 73° | from ?  

**Atmospheric greenhouse gases concentrations**  
on the AICC2012 chronology (?)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Source and Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>191 ppm</td>
<td>Spline from ? based on the EDC records (??)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>385 ppb</td>
<td>Spline from ? based on the EDC record (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>201 ppb</td>
<td>Linear increase from 201 ppb at 140 ka to 218.74 ppb at 134.5 ka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ice-sheets**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Digital Ice Sheet Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North American and Eurasian</td>
<td>140 ka</td>
<td>IcIES-NH (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>140 ka</td>
<td>GSM-G (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>140 ka</td>
<td>GSM-A (?)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bathymetry and orography**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bering Strait</td>
<td>closed</td>
<td>gradual opening consistent with sea-level rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunda and Sahul shelves</td>
<td>emerged</td>
<td>gradual flooding consistent with sea-level rise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Freshwater input**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Hemisphere</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>based on sea-level changes (fSL, blue in Fig. 4f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic coast</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0135 Sv between 140 and 130 ka (constant rate)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of forcings and boundary conditions to apply for the PGM spin-up (140 ka) and subsequent transient simulation of the PDG.


Table 2. Freshwater scenarios for transient simulations of the PDG. Freshwater can be added in the NH and close to the Antarctic coast based on meltwater routing, or as a globally uniform flux. The Antarctic freshwater forcing in fSL2 is based on ?, but scaled down to obtain a total Antarctic contribution of 20 m sle.
Table 3. Requested 1-dimensional\(^1\), 2-dimensional (longitude, latitude\(^{X,Y}\), latitude, basin\(^{Y,B}\), or latitude,depth \(^{Y,Z}\)) and 3-dimensional (latitude, longitude, depth, or basin, longitude, depth, unmarked in the table) variables to be uploaded to the ESGF database. Most variables are requested as annual means, but \* indicates that monthly outputs are requested. The CMIP6 conventions should be used for the variable names and units. See https://earthsystemcog.org/projects/wip/CMIP6DataRequest for details.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracer interpretation</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Coordinates and depth (m)</th>
<th>( \varphi 1 ) (ka)</th>
<th>( \varphi 2 ) (ka)</th>
<th>( \varphi 3 ) (ka)</th>
<th>( \varphi 4 ) (ka)</th>
<th>( \varphi 5 ) (ka)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sea-level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-level</td>
<td>Red Sea cores</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137.0±0.7 increases</td>
<td>133.4±0.7 main increase</td>
<td>130.2±1</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthic ( \delta^{13}C )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>ODP983</td>
<td>60.40°N, 23.64°W, 1984 m</td>
<td>136.1±1.2 weaker ventil.</td>
<td>128.1±0.9</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate-depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ventilation</td>
<td>ODP980</td>
<td>55.80°N, 14.11°W, 2180 m</td>
<td>137±1.9</td>
<td>128.6±1.8</td>
<td>127.6±1.3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>MD95-2042</td>
<td>37.80°N, 10.17°E, 3146 m</td>
<td>135.9±2.0</td>
<td>130.3±1.6</td>
<td>129.2±1.4</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deep-water ventilation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stack of U1308 CH69-K09 and ODP 1063</td>
<td>49.88°N, 24.24°W, 3883 m, 41.76°N, 47.35°W, 4100 m, 33.69°N, 57.62°W, 4584 m</td>
<td>135.9±2.0</td>
<td>130.3±1.6</td>
<td>129.2±1.4</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>MD02-2488</td>
<td>46.48°S, 88.02°E, 3420 m</td>
<td>131.9±2.1 stronger ventil. (U)</td>
<td>130.2±2.2 weaker ventil. (V)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deep-water ventilation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planktic ( \delta^{18}O ) and ( \delta^{18}O )ow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>ODP980</td>
<td>55.80°N, 14.11°W, 2180 m</td>
<td>130.0±1.3</td>
<td>130.0±1.3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface ( \delta^{18}O )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salinity</td>
<td>SU90-03</td>
<td>40.51°N, 32.05°W</td>
<td>131.0±1.1</td>
<td>131.0±1.1</td>
<td>CS98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD95-2042</td>
<td>37.80°N, 10.17°E</td>
<td>36.20°N, 4.31°E</td>
<td>133.9±0.9</td>
<td>131.6±1.5</td>
<td>131.9±0.9</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODP 976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speleothem ( \delta^{18}Oc )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Atlantic</td>
<td>Corchia Cave, Italy</td>
<td>43.97°N, 13.0°E, 840 m a.s.l</td>
<td>133.9±1.2 NA meltwater input (I)</td>
<td>131.0±0.7 NA meltwater</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface ( \delta^{18}O )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ages for the beginning of \( \varphi 1-\varphi 5 \) from Tables 4 and 5

Mean ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \varphi 1 )</th>
<th>( \varphi 2 )</th>
<th>( \varphi 3 )</th>
<th>( \varphi 4 )</th>
<th>( \varphi 5 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>136.4±1.7</td>
<td>133.9±0.8</td>
<td>133.3±1.1</td>
<td>130.4±1.3</td>
<td>128.5±1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.
Table 4: Key paleoproxy records of changes in ocean oxygen ($\delta^{18}$O) and carbon ($\delta^{13}$C) isotopic composition. The chronology of all paleoproxy records presented here is based on an alignment onto Corchia U-Th-based chronology (Table S1). Letters in brackets indicate the major changes identified in the paleoclimatic records shown in Figure 9. The dates of the major changes obtained through RAMPFIT or BREAKFIT are indicated with their associated uncertainties. Age uncertainties ($1\sigma$) reported include (1) the “internal” error of the event given by RAMPFIT (for most major changes) (?), or BREAKFIT (major change dates highlighted with **) (?), (2) the relative error related to the climatic alignment method for marine sediment records and (3) the absolute dating error of the reference time scale. Based on North Atlantic records, changes have been split into five phases ($\varphi$), with the date representing the beginning of each phase: $\varphi_1$ is associated with the early phase of HS11 and MWP-2A, $\varphi_2$ represents a pause within HS11, $\varphi_3$ the main phase of HS11 and MWP-2B, $\varphi_4$ the inception out of HS11 and $\varphi_5$ full interglacial conditions. Implicitely the end of each phase corresponds to the beginning of the next one. For the sea-level record, the new chronology discussed in section 5 is used. CS98 refers to ?. ventil. stands for ventilation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracer interpretation</th>
<th>Core, coordinates and depth/elevation</th>
<th>Chronology</th>
<th>$\varphi_1$ (ka)</th>
<th>$\varphi_2$ (ka)</th>
<th>$\varphi_3$ (ka)</th>
<th>$\varphi_4$ (ka)</th>
<th>$\varphi_5$ (ka)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atm. $\text{CO}_2$ concentration</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>Ice core</td>
<td>137.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>128.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Atlantic SST (FFA)</td>
<td>ODP980</td>
<td>Alignment onto Corchia</td>
<td>129.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>128.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>131 ± 1</td>
<td>CS98</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Atlantic SST (FFA)</td>
<td>SU90-03</td>
<td>Alignment onto Corchia</td>
<td>136.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>colder [E]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. Mediterr. Sea SST (UK'37)</td>
<td>ODP 976</td>
<td>Alignment onto Corchia</td>
<td>135.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>134 ± 1.1 [B]</td>
<td>133.3** ± 0.9 [C]</td>
<td>131.4 ± 0.9 warmer [D]</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southern Ocean SST (FFA)</td>
<td>MD02-2488</td>
<td>Alignment onto Corchia</td>
<td>130 ± 2.1** max. warm [R]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southern Ocean SST (Mg/Ca)</td>
<td>MD97-2120</td>
<td>Alignment onto Corchia</td>
<td>128.1 ± 2.5** max. warm [S]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic Annual SAT (Ice $\delta D$)</td>
<td>EDC ice core</td>
<td>Ice core</td>
<td>135.6 ± 2.5 (warmer) [W]</td>
<td>129.4 ± 1.8** max. warm [X]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT Corchia Cave, Italy Southern Europe ([Mg])</td>
<td>Corchia Cave, Italy</td>
<td>Alignment onto Corchia</td>
<td>131.0 ± 0.7 warmer [K]</td>
<td>128.6 ± 0.6 warmer plateau [L]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation/precipitation in Southern Europe (Temperate tree pollen)</td>
<td>Lago di Monticchio, Italy</td>
<td>Independent absolute varve chronology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>127.2 ± 1.6 max. warm/wet [G]</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ioannina terr. sequence, Greece</td>
<td>Orbital tuning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>132.7 ± 2.3 wetter [H]</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity of Asian monsoon ($\delta^{18}Oc$)</td>
<td>Chinese Caves</td>
<td>Absolute U-Th-based chronology</td>
<td>135.6 ± 0.5 drier [M]</td>
<td>133.7 ± 0.5 [N]</td>
<td>133.3** ± 0.5 [O]</td>
<td>128.9 ± 0.1 wetter [P]</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity of East Asian monsoon (grain size)</td>
<td>Chinese loess</td>
<td>Alignment onto Hulu-Sanbao</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>130.2 ± 0.4 o wetter [Q]</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ages for the beginning of $\varphi_1$-$\varphi_5$ from Tables 4 and 5

| Mean ages | 136.4 ± 1.7 | 133.9 ± 0.8 | 133.3 ± 1.1 | 130.4 ± 1.3 | 128.5 ± 1.3 |

Table 5.
Table 5: Same as Table 4 for key paleoproxy records of climatic and environmental changes through the PDG selected for their relatively high resolution. An indication of their climatic or environmental use is indicated in italic and the proxy used is shown in parenthesis in column 1. Age uncertainties (1σ) reported include (1) the “internal” error of the event given by RAMPFIT (for most major changes) (?), or BREAKFIT (major change dates highlighted with **) (?), (2) the relative error related to the climatic alignment method for marine sediment and Ioannina records and (3) the absolute dating error of the reference time scale. ○ No dating error is provided in ?. As a result, the stated error here only encompasses the “internal” error, it thus represents only a minimal error for the timing of the stacked grain size increase. The mean age representing the beginning of each phase (ϕ) is shown in the last row and is calculated from all the available dates within each phase shown in Tables 4 and 5. FFA stands for foraminifera faunal assemblages. CS98 refers to ?. Based on North Atlantic records, changes have been split into five phases (ϕ), with the date representing the beginning of each phase: ϕ1 is associated with the early phase of HS11 and MWP-2A, ϕ2 represents a pause within HS11, ϕ3 the main phase of HS11 and MWP-2B, ϕ4 the inception out of HS11 and ϕ5 full interglacial conditions. Implicitly the end of each phase corresponds to the beginning of the next one.
Figure 1. Overview of (left) the penultimate and (right) the last deglaciations climatic and environmental evolutions: a) Hovmøller diagram of summer solstice insolation anomalies (W/m$^2$). This corresponds to June 21st in the Northern Hemisphere and December 21st in the Southern Hemisphere; Timeseries of b) eccentricity (red), obliquity (solid black) and precession (dashed black) (°); c) Global mean sea level anomaly probability maximum (m, blue), (left) including its 95% confidence interval (cyan) (m), from on the original age model for the penultimate and (right) from for the last deglaciation. d) Atmospheric methane (CH$_4$) concentration as recorded in EDC ice core, Antarctica (°); e) Precipitation-weighted surface temperature reconstruction based on stable water isotopes from the Greenland NEEM ice core (left), and annual surface temperature composite reconstruction based on air nitrogen isotopes from the Greenland NEEM, NGRIP and GISP2 ice cores (°); f) Alkenone-based (Uk$^{137}$) SST reconstruction from ODP976 (°); g) Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration as recorded in Antarctic ice cores (left) EDC (°) and (right) WAIS divide (°) on the WD2014 chronology (°); h) Antarctic temperature anomalies relative to present day inferred from EDC ice core (°). Unless specified differently, all records are displayed on the AICC2012 timescale (°) or a chronology coherent with AICC2012.
Figure 2. Atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations: Atmospheric trace gases through the penultimate deglaciation from Antarctic ice cores displayed on the AICC2012 chronology, and the spline that should be used to force the transient simulations (solid and dashed black lines). a) Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations from EDC (turquoise and blue) and TALDICE (green). b) Atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration from EDC (blue), Vostok (orange), TALDICE (green) and EDML (pink). c) Atmospheric N$_2$O concentration from EDC (blue), EDML (pink) and TALDICE (green). Due to in-situ production within the ice-sheet, no accurate N$_2$O measurements are available beyond 134.5 ka. Between 140 and 134.5 ka N$_2$O should increase linearly from 201 ppb to 218.74 ppb (dashed black line). Red crosses indicate the 140 ka spin-up values for CO$_2$, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations (i.e. 191 ppm, 385 ppb and 201 ppb, respectively).
Figure 3. NH ice-sheets elevation (m) at a) 140, b) 136, c) 134, d) 132, e) 130 and f) 128 ka from the combined ice-sheet forcing: as simulated by the IcIES-MIROC model (?) for the North American and Eurasian ice-sheets, and as simulated by the Glacial Systems Model (GSM) (e.g. ?) for the Greenland ice-sheet. Elevation is shown where the ice-mask is greater than 0.5.
Figure 4. Timeseries of (a) Summer solstice insolation at 65°N and 65°S, (b-d) global sea level equivalent (m) of changes in (b) NH (?), (c) Greenland (?), and (d) Antarctic ice-sheets (?), (e) global sea level (m) change estimated from Red Sea records (?) (green) with the 95% probability interval (cyan), sea-level record with an adjusted age scale as described in section 5 (blue), and as estimated from the continental ice-sheets simulations (black). The red line shows the changes in global sea-level that would be obtained by adding the meltwater flux, fIRD, described in (f) plus an Antarctic contribution of 13 m. (f) Possible North Atlantic meltwater flux (Sv) scenarios: estimated from the disintegration of NH ice-sheets as shown in (b, c (?)) (black), estimated from the global sea-level change on the revised age-scale (this study, blue), and scaled from the North Atlantic and Norwegian Sea IRD records (red, shown in Fig. 8b).
Figure 5. Greenland ice-sheet elevation (m) at a) 140 ka, b) 130 ka, c) 129 ka, and d) 128 ka as simulated by the GSM (e.g., ?). The 0 elevation contour is in black.
Figure 6. Antarctic ice-sheet elevation at a) 140 ka, b) 136 ka, c) 134 ka, d) 132 ka, e) 130 ka and f) 128 ka as simulated by the GSM (e.g. ?). Grounding lines are in black.
Figure 7. Sea-level changes across the PDG: a) Stacked LR04 benthic $\delta^{18}$O curve (turquoise) (?), Red Sea Relative sea level (RSL) data (1 ka moving Gaussian filter in dark blue line and 95% probability interval in light blue) on the age model from ? and on the new age model (this study, data in grey, 1 ka moving Gaussian filter in black); RSL curve inferred from Red sea Geo-KL11 and MD92-1017 cores on a depth scale (dark grey) (?). b) Tahiti (orange dots) (?) and Huon Peninsula (green dots) (?) corals superimposed onto the Red Sea RSL curve displayed on the new age model (black). Orange bars indicate the range of the paleowater depth estimates from Tahiti corals. Coral data have been updated to new decay constants (?) (Tables S3, S4). Black dots indicate the tie points defined so that the timing of MWP-2A in the Red Sea RSL is consistent with the absolutely-dated Tahiti and Huon Peninsula coral data (Table S5). The pink box represents the timing and range of RSL during the main phase of LIG reef growth (129-122 ka) (?). Vertical dashed grey lines indicated the 140, 135 and 130 ka time intervals on both panels.
Figure 8. Selection of RSL and North Atlantic marine sediment records: a) Red Sea RSL record (?), with revised age-scale as described in Section 5. b) Normalized IRD stack (black) of cores CH69-K09 (?), ODP980 (?), ODP983 (?), ODP984 (?), ODP1063 (?) and SU90-03 (?) with ±1σ (grey envelope). Normalized IRD record of MD95-2010 (blue) (?). c) N. Pachyderma sin. (%) in cores ODP980 (cyan) (?), ODP983 (green) (?) and ODP984 (magenta) (?); d) Estimated summer SST anomalies (°C) in cores ODP980 (cyan), CH69-K09 (black) (?) and SU90-03 (blue) (?); e) Uk’37 SST anomalies (°C) in cores MD01-2444 (red) (?), ODP976 (magenta) and MD95-2042 (grey) (?); f) % of warm (circles) and cold (axis reversed) species in core ODP1063 (?); g) Estimated δ¹⁸Osw (‰) in cores ODP976 (red), MD95-2042 (magenta) (?), SU90-03 (blue) (?), CH69-K09 (black) (?), ODP984 (green) (?), ODP980 (cyan) (?); Benthic δ¹³C (‰) in cores h) ODP983 (green) (?) and ODP980 (cyan) (?), i) MD95-2042 (black) (?), j) a δ¹³C stack of cores U1308, CH69-K09 and ODP1063 (??). All the age models have been revised as described in the methods and in ?.
Figure 9. Selection of paleorecords illustrating climatic and environmental changes between 140 and 127 ka. a) SST records from North Atlantic cores ODP-976 (pink) (?) and SU90-03 (blue) (?). b) Percentage of temperature tree pollen from the Greek Ioannina sequence (dark green) (?) and from the Italian Lago Grande di Monticchio (LGdM) sequence (light green) (?). c) Composite speleothem calcite δ¹⁸O record from the Italian Corchia Cave speleothem (purple) and Corchia CD Mg concentration (?). d) Composite calcite δ¹⁸O record from the Chinese Hulu, Sanbao and Dongge Caves speleothems (orange) (?). e) Stacked grain size record of Chinese Loess (light grey) (?). f) SST records from Southern Ocean cores MD97-2120 (light blue) (?) and MD02-2488 (dark blue) (?). g) δ¹³C record from North Atlantic core MD95-2042 (light pink) (?) and Southern Ocean core MD02-2488 (dark blue) (?). h) Antarctic ice δD record from EPICA Dome C (?) on AICC2012 chronology (black) (?). Letters in brackets and yellow crosses indicate the major changes identified in the paleoclimatic records, and their ±1σ dating uncertainty (horizontal grey bars, Tables 4 and 5). Chronologies and associated references are detailed in Tables 4 and 5. No details on the dating errors attached to the Chinese Loess stacked grain size record are provided in (?), the 1σ error should thus be treated as an underestimated dating error.